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Introduction

Many authors have made significant contributions to the so-called mortar element method (see [4] [5] [7] [8] [10] [11], and references therein). The mortar element method is a non-conforming domain decomposition method with non-overlapping subdomains. The meshes on different subdomains need not align across subdomain interfaces, and the matching of discretizations on adjacent subdomains is only enforced weakly. This offers the advantages of freely choosing highly varying mesh sizes on different subdomains and is very promising to approximate the problems with abruptly changing diffision coefficients or local anisotropies.

The rotated $Q_1$ element is an important nonconforming element. It was first proposed and analysed in [12] for numerically solving the Stokes problem. The rotated $Q_1$ element provides the simplest example of discretely divergence-free nonconforming element on quadrilaterals. Due to its simplicity, the rotated $Q_1$ element is used to simulate the deformation of martensitic crystals with microstructure in [9]. Independently, it also was derived within the framework of mixed element method (see [2]). In [2] it was proven that Raviart-Thomas mixed rectangle element method is equivalent to rotated $Q_1$ nonconforming element method.

The purpose of this paper is to study the rotated $Q_1$ mortar element method. A mortar element version for rotated $Q_1$ element is proposed. By constructing some relations between rotated $Q_1$ mortar element and bilinear element, the optimal error estimate for rotated $Q_1$ mortar element method is proven.

For convenience, the symbols $\preceq$, $\succeq$, and $\asymp$ will be used in this paper, and $x_1 \preceq y_1$, $x_2 \succeq y_2$, and $x_3 \asymp y_3$ mean that $x_1 \leq C_1 y_1$, $x_2 \geq c_2 y_2$, and $c_3 y_3 \leq C_3 x_3$ for some constants $C_1$, $c_2$, and $C_3$ that are independent of mesh parameters. For any subdomain $D \subset \Omega$, we use usual $L^2$ inner product $\langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle_D$, Sobolev space $H^s(D)$ with usual Sobolev norm $\| \cdot \|_{H^s(D)}$ and seminorm $| \cdot |_{H^s(D)}$. If $D = \Omega$, we denote the usual $L^2$ inner product by $\langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle$, the Sobolev norm by $\| \cdot \|_s$ and seminorm by $| \cdot |_s$, where $s$ may be fractional (for details see [1]).

Preliminaries

Consider the following model problem: find $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$a(u, v) = f(v), \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$
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where
\[ a(u, v) = (\nabla u, \nabla v), \quad f(v) = (f, v), \]
\( f \in L^2(\Omega), \) \( \Omega \) is a rectangular or \( L \)-shape bounded domain.

Divide \( \Omega \) into geometrically conforming rectangular substructures, i.e., \( \tilde{\Omega} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{N} \tilde{\Omega}_k \) with \( \tilde{\Omega}_k \cap \tilde{\Omega}_l \) being empty set or a vertex or an edge for \( k \neq l \). With each \( \tilde{\Omega}_k \) we associate a quasi-uniform triangulation \( T_h(\tilde{\Omega}_k) \) made of elements that are rectangles whose edges are parallel to \( x \)-axis or \( y \)-axis. The mesh parameter \( h_k \) is the diameter of the largest element in \( T_h(\tilde{\Omega}_k) \). Let \( \Gamma_{kl} \) denote the open edge that is common to \( \tilde{\Omega}_k \) and \( \tilde{\Omega}_l \). Denote by \( \Gamma \) the set of all interfaces between the subdomains, i.e., \( \Gamma = \bigcup \partial \tilde{\Omega}_k \setminus \partial \Omega \).

Each edge inherits two triangulations made of segments that are edges of elements of the triangulations of \( \tilde{\Omega}_k \) and \( \tilde{\Omega}_l \) respectively. In this way each \( \Gamma_{kl} \) is provided with two independent and different one dimensional meshes, which are denoted by \( T_h^k(\Gamma_{kl}) \) and \( T_h^l(\Gamma_{kl}) \) respectively. Let \( \Omega_{k,h} \) and \( \partial \Omega_{k,h} \) be the sets of vertices of the triangulation \( T_h(\tilde{\Omega}_k) \) that are in \( \tilde{\Omega}_k \) and \( \partial \tilde{\Omega}_k \) respectively.

For each triangulation \( T_h(\tilde{\Omega}_k) \), the rotated \( Q1 \) element space is defined by
\[
X_h(\Omega_k) = \left\{ v \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_k) \mid v|_E = a^1_E + a^2_E x + a^3_E y + a^4_E (x^2 - y^2), \right. \\
a^1_E \in \mathcal{R}, \quad \int_{\partial E \cap \partial \Omega} v|_{\partial \Omega} ds = 0, \quad \forall E \in T_h(\tilde{\Omega}_k); \\
\left. \text{for } E_1, E_2 \in T_h(\tilde{\Omega}_k), \text{ if } \partial E_1 \cap \partial E_2 = e, \text{ then } \int_e v|_{\partial E_1} ds = \int_e v|_{\partial E_2} ds \right\},
\]
with norm and seminorm
\[
\|v\|_{H^1_h(\Omega_k)} = \left( \sum_{E \in T_h(\tilde{\Omega}_k)} \|v\|_{H^1(E)}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad \|v\|_{H^1_h(\Omega_k)} = \left( \sum_{E \in T_h(\tilde{\Omega}_k)} \|v\|_{H^1(E)}^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\]

Introduce the global discrete space
\[
X_h(\Omega) = \prod_{k=1}^{N} X_h(\tilde{\Omega}_k),
\]
with norm \( \|v\|_{1,h} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N} \|v\|_{H^1_h(\Omega_k)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \) and seminorm \( \|v\|_{0,h} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N} \|v\|_{H^1_h(\Omega_k)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \).

Define one of the sides of \( \Gamma_{kl} \) as mortar denoted by \( \gamma_{m(k,l)} \) and the other as nonmortar denoted by \( \delta_{m(l)} \). Assume that the mortar for \( \gamma_{m(k,l)} = \delta_{m(l)} = \Gamma_{kl} \) is chosen by the condition \( h_k \leq h_l \), i.e., the fine side is chosen as mortar. Based on this assumption, the two elements of the slave triangulation \( T_h^l(\delta_{m(l)}) \) that touch the ends of \( \delta_{m(l)} \) are longer than the respective elements of the mortar triangulation \( T_h^k(\gamma_{m(k,l)}) \). Define an auxiliary test space \( M^{h_l}(\delta_{m(l)}) \) to be a subspace of the space \( L^2(\Gamma_{kl}) \) such that its functions are piecewise constants on \( T_h(\delta_{m(l)}) \). The dimension of \( M^{h_l}(\delta_{m(l)}) \) is equal to the number of elements on the \( \delta_{m(l)} \). For each nonmortar \( \delta_{m(l)} = \Gamma_{kl} \), we define an \( L^2 \)-orthogonal projection \( Q_{m} : L^2(\Gamma_{kl}) \rightarrow M^{h_l}(\delta_{m(l)}) \) by
\[
(Q_m v, w)_{L^2(\delta_{m(l)})} = (v, w)_{L^2(\delta_{m(l))}, \quad \forall w \in M^{h_l}(\delta_{m(l)})}, \tag{2}
\]
Now we define rotated $Q1$ mortar element space

$$ V_h = \{ v \in X_h(\Omega) \mid Q_m v_l = Q_m v_k, \quad \forall \delta_{m(l)} = \gamma_{m(k)} \subset \Gamma \}, $$

where $v_k = v|_{\gamma_{m(k)}}$ and $v_l = v|_{\delta_{m(l)}}$. The condition of the equality of the $L^2$-orthogonal projection of traces onto the test space for each interface is called the mortar condition. The rotated $Q1$ mortar element approximation of problem (1) is: find $u_h \in V_h$ such that

$$ a_h(u_h, v_h) = (f, v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h, \quad (3) $$

where

$$ a_h(u_h, v_h) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{h,k}(u_h, v_h), \quad a_{h,k}(u_h, v_h) = \sum_{E \in T_h(\Omega_k)} (\nabla u_h, \nabla v_h)_E. $$

### Some Technical Lemmas

In this section we present some auxiliary technical lemmas that are necessary to prove our results.

Let $T_{h/2}(\Omega_k)$ be the partition which is constructed by connecting midpoints of the opposite edges of elements of $T_h(\Omega_k)$, $\tilde{V}^{h/2}(\Omega_k)$ be piecewise bilinear conforming element space defined on $T_{h/2}(\Omega_k)$, and $\tilde{V}_0^{h/2}(\Omega_k)$ be the subspace of $\tilde{V}^{h/2}(\Omega_k)$ consisting of functions with zero traces on $\partial \Omega_k$. Define operator $\mathcal{M}_k : X_h(\Omega_k) \to \tilde{V}^{h/2}(\Omega_k)$ as follows:

**Definition 1** Given $v \in X_h(\Omega_k)$, we define $\mathcal{M}_k v \in \tilde{V}^{h/2}(\Omega_k)$ by the values of $\mathcal{M}_k v$ at the vertices of the partition $T_{h/2}(\Omega_k)$. The vertices are divided into four sets of points:

- If $P$ is a central point of $E$, $E \in T_h(\Omega_k)$, then
  $$ (\mathcal{M}_k v)(P) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{e_i \in \partial E} \frac{1}{|e_i|} \int_{e_i} v ds; $$

- If $P$ is a midpoint of one edge $e \in \partial E$, $E \in T_h(\Omega_k)$, then
  $$ (\mathcal{M}_k v)(P) = \frac{1}{|e|} \int_e v ds; $$

- If $P \in \Omega k_\partial \Omega k$, then
  $$ (\mathcal{M}_k v)(P) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{e_i} \frac{1}{|e_i|} \int_{e_i} v ds, $$

where the sum is taken over all edges $e_i$ with the common vertex $P$, $e_i \in \partial E_i$, $E_i \in T_h(\Omega_k)$;

- If $P \in \partial \Omega k$, then
  $$ (\mathcal{M}_k v)(P) = \frac{|e_i|}{|e_i| + |e_r|} \left( \frac{1}{|e_i|} \int_{e_i} v ds \right) + \frac{|e_r|}{|e_i| + |e_r|} \left( \frac{1}{|e_r|} \int_{e_r} v ds \right), $$

where $e_i \in \partial E_1 \cap \partial \Omega k$ and $e_r \in \partial E_2 \cap \partial \Omega k$ are the left and right neighbor edges of $P$, $E_1$, $E_2 \in T_{h}(\Omega_k)$. If $P$ is a vertex of $\Omega_k$, then $E_1 = E_2$.

The above operator $\mathcal{M}_k$ has the following properties.
Lemma 1 For any \( v \in X_h(\Omega_k) \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
|\mathcal{M}_k v|_{\mathcal{H}_1(\Omega_k)} &< |v|_{\mathcal{H}_1(\Omega_k)}, \\
\|\mathcal{M}_k v\|_{L^2(\Omega_k)} &< \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_k)}, \\
\int_{\partial \Omega_k} \mathcal{M}_k v \, ds &< \int_{\partial \Omega_k} v \, ds, \\
\|\mathcal{M}_k v - v\|_{L^2(\Omega_k)} &\leq \frac{1}{h} |v|_{\mathcal{H}_1(\Omega_k)}, \\
\|\mathcal{M}_k v - v\|_{L^2(\epsilon)} &\leq \frac{1}{h^{1/2}} |v|_{\mathcal{H}_1^e(\Omega_k)},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \epsilon \) is an edge of \( \Omega_k \).

We now introduce a subspace \( X^e_h(\Omega_k) \) of \( X_h(\Omega_k) \) for each open edge \( \epsilon \) of \( \Omega_k \) as follows:

\[
X^e_h(\Omega_k) = \{ v \in X_h(\Omega_k) \mid \int_{\epsilon} v \, ds = 0, \quad \forall e \in \partial \Omega_k \setminus \{ \epsilon \} \}.
\]

Define an operator \( \mathcal{M}^e_h : X^e_h(\Omega_k) \to \tilde{V}^{h/2}(\Omega_k) \) by

**Definition 2** Given \( v \in X^e_h(\Omega_k) \), we define \( \mathcal{M}^e_h v \in \tilde{V}^{h/2}(\Omega_k) \) by the values of \( \mathcal{M}^e_h v \) at the vertices of the partition \( \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k) \).

- If \( P \) is a central point of \( E \) or a midpoint of one edge of \( E \), \( E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k) \), or \( P \in \Omega_{k,E} \setminus \partial \Omega_{k,E} \), then \( (\mathcal{M}^e_h v)(P) = (\mathcal{M}_k v)(P) \);
- If \( P \in \partial \Omega_{k,E} \setminus \{ \epsilon \} \), then \( (\mathcal{M}^e_h v)(P) = 0 \);
- If \( P \in \partial \Omega_{k,E} \cap \{ \epsilon \} \), then \( (\mathcal{M}^e_h v)(P) = \frac{1}{|\epsilon|} \int_{\epsilon} v \, ds \),

where \( |\epsilon| \in \partial \Omega_1 \cap \partial \Omega \) and \( |\epsilon| \in \partial \Omega_2 \cap \partial \Omega \) are the left and right neighbor edges of \( P \), \( E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k) \). If \( P \) is a vertex of \( \Omega_k \), \( E_1 = E_2 \).

Define the pseudo-inverse map \( (\mathcal{M}_k)^+ : \tilde{V}^{h/2}(\Omega_k) \to X_h(\Omega_k) \) by

\[
\frac{1}{|\epsilon|} \int_{\epsilon} (\mathcal{M}_k)^+ v \, ds = v(P), \quad \forall v \in \tilde{V}^{h/2}(\Omega_k),
\]

where \( |\epsilon| \in \partial \Omega_1 \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k) \), \( P \) is the midpoint of \( e \). Obviously, we have

\[
(\mathcal{M}_k)^+ \mathcal{M}_k v = v, \quad (\mathcal{M}_k)^+ \mathcal{M}_k w = w, \quad \forall v \in X_h(\Omega_k), \quad \forall w \in X^e_h(\Omega_k).
\]

Using the discrete norms, we can prove the following Lemma holds.

**Lemma 2** For any \( v \in \tilde{V}^{h/2}(\Omega_k) \), we have

\[
\| (\mathcal{M}_k)^+ v \|_{\mathcal{H}_1(\Omega_k)} \leq \| v \|_{\mathcal{H}_1(\Omega_k)}, \quad \| (\mathcal{M}_k)^+ v \|_{L^2(\Omega_k)} \leq \| v \|_{L^2(\Omega_k)}.
\]

Let \( A_k \) be a special set of edges which belong to \( \partial \Omega_k \) or are the edges of rectangles which have one side on a mortar \( \gamma_m(k) \). We introduce a special subspace \( X^e_h(\Omega_k) \subset X_h(\Omega_k) \) as follows:

\[
X^e_h(\Omega_k) = \{ v \in X_h(\Omega_k) \mid \int_{\epsilon} v \, ds = 0, \quad \forall e \in A_k \}.
\]
Define a discrete harmonic part $H_K v$ of $v \in X_h(\Omega_k)$ by
\[
\alpha_{h,k}(H_K v, w) = 0, \quad \forall w \in X_h^k(\Omega_k),
\]
\[
\int_e H_K v ds = \int_e v ds, \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{A}_k.
\]
Also we define a projection operator $P_k : X_h(\Omega_k) \to X_h^k(\Omega_k)$ by
\[
\alpha_{h,k}(P_k v, w) = \alpha_{h,k}(v, w), \quad \forall w \in X_h^k(\Omega_k).
\]

**Lemma 3** Let $\varepsilon = \delta_{m(k)}$ be a nonmortar edge of $\Omega_k$, and $v$ be discrete harmonic in $\Omega_k$ with $\int_e v ds = 0$ for any $e \in \mathcal{A}_k \setminus \delta_{m(k)}$. Then
\[
|v|_{H^1_\mathcal{K}_0(\Omega_k)} \leq ||M_k v||_{H^{1/2}_0(\delta_{m(k)})}.
\]

Let $\delta_{m(t)}$ be a nonmortar edge of $\Omega_t$, $W_0^{0,i}(\delta_{m(t)})$ be the continuous function space whose elements are piecewise linear over all segments that have the midpoints of edges belonging to $\delta_{m(t)}$ as their nodals and equal zero at the ends of $\delta_{m(t)}$. Let $\delta_{m(t)}^m$ be the set of midpoints of edges in $\mathcal{T}_h(\delta_{m(t)})$. Define an auxiliary operator $\Pi_m : L^2(\delta_{m(t)}) \to W_0^{0,i}(\delta_{m(t)})$ as follows:
\[
(\Pi_m v)(P) = (Q_m v)(P), \quad \forall P \in \delta_{m(t)}^m.
\]

**Lemma 4** $||\Pi_m v||_{L^2(\delta_{m(t)})} \leq ||v||_{L^2(\delta_{m(t)})}$, $\forall v \in L^2(\delta_{m(t)})$.

By interpolation estimate [6] and operator interpolation theory in Chapter 12 in [3], we can derive the following result.

**Lemma 5** $||v - Q_m v||_{L^2(\delta_{m(t)})} \leq h^{1/2}_t ||v||_{H^{1/2}(\delta_{m(t)})}$, $\forall v \in H^{1/2}(\delta_{m(t)})$.

**Error Estimate**

The following result is the well-known second Strang Lemma.

**Lemma 6** Let $u$ and $u_h$ be the solutions of (1) and (3) respectively, if $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \in L^2(\partial E)$, then
\[
|u - u_h|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \inf_{v \in V_h} |u - v|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \sup_{w \in V_h} \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)} \int_{\partial E} \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} ds \right|, \quad (4)
\]

The first term in (4) is known as the approximation error, while the second term is called the consistency error.

Using Lemmas 1-5, arguing as in [11], we can prove the following two Lemmas.

**Lemma 7** Let $u$ and $u_h$ be the solution of (1) and (3) respectively. Assume $u|\Omega_k \in H^2(\Omega_k)$, then we have
\[
\left| \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{E \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_k)} \int_{\partial E} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} w ds \right| \leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^N h^2_k |u|_{H^2(\Omega_k)} \right)^{1/2} |w|_{H^1(\Omega)}, \quad \forall w \in V_h.
\]
Lemma 8  For any $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ with $u|_{\Omega_k} \in H^2(\Omega_k)$, we have
\[
\inf_{v \in V_h} |u - v|_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^N h_k^2 |u|_{H^2(\Omega_k)}^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\]

From Lemmas 6-8 we obtain the following optimal error estimate.

Theorem 1  Let $u$ and $u_h$ be the solution of (1) and (3) respectively, $u|_{\Omega_k} \in H^2(\Omega_k)$, then
\[
|u - u_h|_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^N h_k^2 |u|_{H^2(\Omega_k)}^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\]
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