# A Non-overlapping Quasi-optimal Optimized Schwarz <sup>2</sup> Domain Decomposition Algorithm for the Helmholtz <sup>3</sup> Equation <sup>4</sup>

1

5

9

10

11

12

13

Y. Boubendir<sup>1</sup>, X. Antoine<sup>2</sup>, and C. Geuzaine<sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematical Sciences and Center for Applied Mathematics and Statistics, 6
   NJIT, Univ. Heights. 323 Dr. M. L. King Jr. Blvd, Newark, NJ 07102, USA.
   boubendi@njit.edu
- <sup>2</sup> Institut Elie Cartan Nancy (IECN), Nancy University, INRIA Corida Team, B.P. 239, F-54506 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex, France. Xavier. Antoine@iecn.u-nancy.fr
- <sup>3</sup> University of Liège, Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Montefiore Institute B28, B-4000 Liège, Belgium cgeuzaine@ulg.ac.be

### **1** Introduction

In this paper, we present a new non-overlapping domain decomposition algorithm 14 for the Helmholtz equation. We are particularly interested in the method introduced 15 by P.-L. Lions [6] for the Laplace equation and extended to the Helmholtz equation by B. Després [3]. However, this latest approach provides slow convergence 17 of the iterative method due to the choice of the transmission conditions. Thus, in 18 order to improve the convergence, several methods were developed [4, 5, 9, 10]. 19 The main idea in [5, 9] consists in computing a more accurate approximation of the 20 Dirichlet-to-Neuman (DtN) operator than the one proposed in [3] by using partic-11 ular local transmission conditions. We propose in this work a different approach to 22 approximate the DtN map. We mainly use Padé approximants to suitably localize the 23 nonlocal representation of the DtN operator [8, 11]. This results in an algorithm with 24 quasi-optimal convergence properties. 25

# 2 Model Problem and Non-overlapping Domain Decomposition 26 Method 27

For the sake of simplicity, we limit ourselves to the evaluation of the two-dimensional  $_{28}$  time-harmonic scattering wave by an obstacle denoted by *K*. The three-dimensional  $_{29}$  case is treated similarly without adding any difficulty. We consider the model prob-  $_{30}$  lem given by the system  $_{31}$ 

R. Bank et al. (eds.), *Domain Decomposition Methods in Science and Engineering XX*, Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering 91, DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-35275-1\_61, © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2013



Fig. 1. Example of 2D non-overlapping domain decomposition method

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + k^2 u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus K, \\ u = f \quad \text{on } \Gamma = \partial K, \\ \lim_{|x| \to \infty} |x|^{1/2} (\partial_{|x|} u - iku) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(1)

composed of the Helmholtz equation, the Dirichlet condition on  $\Gamma$  (TE polarization in electromagnetics) where  $f = -e^{ik\alpha \cdot x}$  describes the incident plane wave with  $|\alpha| = 1$  and k is the wavenumber, and the Sommerfeld radiation condition. To solve (1), we combine the absorbing boundary condition method [1, 2] with nonoverlapping domain decomposition methods. The absorbing boundary conditions method consists of truncating the computational domain using an artificial interface  $\Sigma$ , and reducing the system (1) to the following one

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + k^2 u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = f & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \partial_{\mathbf{n}} u + \mathcal{B} u = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \end{cases}$$
(2)

where  $\Omega$  is the bounded domain enclosed by  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma$ ,  $\mathscr{B}$  indicates the approximation <sup>39</sup> of the Dirichlet-to-Neuman (DtN) operator, and **n** is the outward normal to  $\Sigma$ . We are <sup>40</sup> interested in the domain decomposition method introduced in [3, 6]. The first step of <sup>41</sup> this approach consists in splitting  $\Omega$  into several subdomains  $\Omega_i$ , i = 1, ..., N, such <sup>42</sup> that <sup>43</sup>

• 
$$\overline{\Omega} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \overline{\Omega}_i \ (i=1,\ldots,N),$$
 44

• 
$$\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j = \emptyset$$
, if  $i \neq j$ ,  $(i, j = 1, \dots, N)$ ,  
45

•  $\partial \Omega_i \cap \partial \Omega_j = \Sigma_{ij} = \Sigma_{ji} (i, j = 1, ..., N)$  is the artificial interface (see Fig. 1) separating  $\Omega_i$  from  $\Omega_j$  as long as its interior  $\Sigma_{ij}$  is not empty.

Then, applying the Lions-Després algorithm, the solution of the initial problem (1) 48 is reduced to an iterative procedure, where each iteration is performed by solving the 49 local problems 50

DDM with Generalized Impedance Boundary Conditions

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_{i}^{(n+1)} + k^{2} u_{i}^{(n+1)} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{i}, \\ u_{i}^{(n+1)} = f_{i} & \text{on } \Gamma_{i}, \\ \partial_{\mathbf{n}_{i}} u_{i}^{(n+1)} + \mathcal{B} u_{i}^{(n+1)} = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_{i} \end{cases}$$
(3a)  
$$\partial_{\mathbf{n}_{i}} u_{i}^{(n+1)} + \mathcal{S} u_{i}^{(n+1)} = g_{ij}^{(n)} & \text{on } \Sigma_{ij}, \end{cases}$$
(3b)

and forming the quantities to be transmitted through the interfaces

$$g_{ij}^{(n+1)} = -\partial_{\mathbf{n}_j} u_j^{(n+1)} + \mathscr{S} u_j^{(n+1)} = -g_{ij}^{(n)} + 2\mathscr{S} u_j^{(n+1)} \quad \text{on } \Sigma_{ij}, \tag{4}$$

where  $u_i = u|_{\Omega_i}$ ,  $\mathbf{n}_i$  (resp.  $\mathbf{n}_j$ ) is the outward unit normal of the boundary of  $\Omega_i$  52 (resp.  $\Omega_j$ ), i = 1, ..., N, j = 1, ..., N,  $\Gamma_i = \partial \Omega_i \cap \Gamma$  and  $\Sigma_i = \partial \Omega_i \cap \Sigma$ . Note that 53 the boundary condition on  $\Gamma_i$  (resp.  $\Sigma_i$ ) does not take place if the interior of  $\partial \Omega_i \cap \Gamma$  54 (resp.  $\partial \Omega_i \cap \Sigma$ ) is the empty set. 55

### **3** New Transmission Conditions

It is well established that the convergence of the domain decomposition algorithms 57 depends on the choice of the transmission operator  $\mathscr{S}$ . In the original method pro- 58 posed by B. Després [3], the usual approximation of the DtN operator  $\mathcal{S}u = -iku$  59 is used. The resulting algorithm does not treat efficiently the evanescent modes of 60 the iteration operator which impairs the iterative method [9]. In order to improve the 61 convergence, two techniques, based on the modification of the operator  $\mathcal{S}$ , were 62 proposed. First, the optimized Schwarz method introduced by Gander et al. [5]. 63 It consists of using local second-order approximations of the DtN operator  $\mathcal{S}u = 64$  $\delta u + \gamma \partial_s^2 u$ , where  $\partial_s$  is the tangential derivative operator, and the coefficients  $\delta$  65 and  $\gamma$  are optimized using the rate of convergence obtained in the case of the 66 half-plane. The second method, called the "evanescent modes damping algorithm" 67 (EMDA), was introduced by Boubendir et al. [9, 10]. In this case, S is chosen as 68  $\mathcal{S}u = -\iota ku + \mathcal{X}u$  where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a self-adjoint positive operator. We only consider 69 here the usual case where  $\mathscr{X}$  is a real-valued positive coefficient. In this paper we 70 propose a new "square-root" transmission operator [7, 8, 11] that takes the following 71 form: 72

$$\mathscr{S}u = -\iota k \operatorname{Op}\left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{\xi^2}{k_{\varepsilon}^2}}\right) u, \tag{5}$$

where

$$k_{\varepsilon} = k + \iota \varepsilon \tag{6}$$

56

73

is a complexified wavenumber, and the notation  $\sqrt{z}$  designates the principal determination of the square-root of a complex number *z* with branch-cut along the negative real axis. This choice of the square-root operator is motivated by developments real of absorbing boundary conditions (ABC) for scattering problems [1, 2]. Generally speaking, the usual techniques to develop absorbing boundary conditions consists real real axis.

mainly in using Taylor expansions to approximate the symbol of the DtN operator. <sup>79</sup> However, these approximations prevent the modelling of the three parts describing <sup>80</sup> the wave (propagating, evanescent and transition) at the same time, which affects, in <sup>81</sup> return, the final accuracy of the solution. This problem can be solved by high-order <sup>82</sup> local ABC introduced in [7, 8], which uses (5) to model all the scattering modes: <sup>83</sup> propagating, evanescent as well as (in an approximate way) grazing. The localization is performed with complex Padé approximants, and the coefficient  $\varepsilon$  in (6) can <sup>85</sup> then be chosen to minimize spurious reflections at the boundary. In the context of <sup>86</sup> domain decomposition methods, this optimization of  $\varepsilon$  improves the spectrum of the <sup>87</sup> iteration operator on these grazing modes. As it is shown in [8], the optimal value of <sup>88</sup> this parameter is given by  $\varepsilon = 0.4k^{1/3} \mathcal{H}^{2/3}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is the mean curvature on the <sup>89</sup> interface. <sup>90</sup>

## 4 Localization of the Square-Root Operator Using Padé Approximants

Because the square-root operator (5) is nonlocal, its use in the context of finite <sup>93</sup> element method is ineffective since it would lead to consider full matrices for the <sup>94</sup> transmission boundaries. A localization process of this operator can be efficiently <sup>95</sup> done by using partial differential (local) operators and obtain sparse matrices. This <sup>96</sup> is performed [7, 8, 11] in rotating branch-cut approximation of the square-root and <sup>97</sup> then applying complex Padé approximants of order  $N_p$ , <sup>98</sup>

$$\sqrt{1 - \frac{\xi^2}{k_{\varepsilon}^2} u \approx R_{N_p}^{\alpha} (-\frac{\xi^2}{k_{\varepsilon}^2}) u} = C_0 u + \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_p} A_{\ell} (\frac{-\xi^2}{k_{\varepsilon}^2}) (1 + B_{\ell} (\frac{-\xi^2}{k_{\varepsilon}^2}))^{-1} u,$$
(7)

which correspond to the complex Padé approximation

$$\sqrt{1+z} \approx R_{N_p}^{\alpha}(z) = C_0 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_p} \frac{A_{\ell}z}{1+B_{\ell}z},$$
(8)

and where the complex coefficients  $C_0$ ,  $A_\ell$  and  $B_\ell$  are given by

$$C_0 = e^{i\frac{\alpha}{2}} R_{N_p}(e^{-i\alpha} - 1), A_\ell = \frac{e^{-\frac{i\alpha}{2}} a_\ell}{(1 + b_\ell(e^{-i\alpha} - 1))^2}, B_\ell = \frac{e^{-i\alpha} b_\ell}{1 + b_\ell(e^{-i\alpha} - 1)}$$

Here,  $\alpha$  is the angle of rotation,  $(a_{\ell}, b_{\ell})$ ,  $\ell = 1, ..., N_p$ , are the standard real Padé 101 coefficients

$$a_{\ell} = \frac{2}{2N_p + 1} \sin^2(\frac{\ell\pi}{2N_p + 1}), \ b_{\ell} = \cos^2(\frac{\ell\pi}{2N_p + 1}),$$
(9)

and  $R_{N_p}$  is the real Padé approximant of order  $N_p$ 

#### Page 550

100

99

91

92

103

DDM with Generalized Impedance Boundary Conditions

$$\sqrt{1+z} \approx R_{N_p}(z) = 1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_p} \frac{a_{\ell} z}{1+b_{\ell} z}.$$
 (10)

For a variational representation, the approximation of the Padé-localized squareroot transmission operators is realized by using auxiliary coupled functions [7, 11] 105

$$\mathscr{S}u = -\iota k(C_0 u + \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_p} A_\ell \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma_d}(\frac{1}{k_{\varepsilon}^2} \nabla_{\Sigma_d} \varphi_{\ell})) \quad \text{on } \Sigma_d,$$
(11)

where the functions  $\varphi_{\ell}$ ,  $\ell = 1, ..., N_p$ , are defined on any artificial interface  $\Sigma_d$  as the solutions of the surface PDEs 107

$$(1 + B_{\ell} \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma_d}(\frac{1}{k_{\varepsilon}^2} \nabla_{\Sigma_d})) \varphi_{\ell} = u.$$
(12)

The resulting transmitting condition is a Generalized Impedance Boundary Condition, and is denoted by  $\text{GIBC}(N_p, \alpha, \varepsilon)$  for the Padé approximation with  $N_p$  auxiliary functions, for an angle of rotation  $\alpha$  and a damping parameter  $\varepsilon$ . The lowest-order approximation  $\mathscr{S} = -\iota kI$  (resp.  $\mathscr{S} = -\iota ku + \mathscr{X}u$ ) is denoted by IBC(0) (resp. 111 IBC( $\mathscr{X}$ )).

# **5** Numerical Results

**Fig. 2.** *Left*: decomposition of the computational domain. *Right*: iteration number with respect to the wavenumber *k* for two densities of discretization  $n_{\lambda}$ 

113

Y. Boubendir, X. Antoine, and C. Geuzaine

The numerical tests presented here concern the scattering of a plane wave by 114 a unit sound-soft circular cylinder. We truncate the computational domain using a 115 circle of radius equal to 4, on which the second-order Bayliss-Turkel absorbing condition [1] is set (see problem (2)). We perform these numerical tests on partitions 117 of the type displayed in Fig. 2, and we refer to them as "circle-pie". We use a finite 118 element method with linear (P1) basis functions to approximate the solution in each 119 subdomain. The implementation of this method with Padé approximants is described 120 in [11]. The iterative problem is solved using GMRES and the iterations are stopped 121 when the initial residual has decreased by a factor of  $10^{-6}$ . 122

We begin by testing the iterative method with respect to the wavenumber k. Let 123 us consider the number of subdomains  $N_{\text{dom}} = 5$ . Because the interfaces are straight, 124 as depicted on the left picture of Fig. 2,  $\varepsilon$  cannot be optimized as described in Sect. 3. 125 However, numerical simulations show that  $\varepsilon = k/4$  is an appropriate choice for this 126 kind of interfaces. On the right picture of Fig. 2, we represent the behavior of the 127 number of iterations. We choose two densities of discretization points per wavelength  $n_{\lambda}$ . We compare the new algorithm noted GIBC( $N_p$ ,  $\pi/4$ ,  $\varepsilon$ ), where  $N_p$  is the 129 Padé number and  $\pi/4$  the angle of rotation, with the EMDA algorithm designated by 130 IBC(k/2). In this latest case, the number of iterations clearly increases with respect 131 to k and  $n_{\lambda}$ . However, for GIBC( $N_p$ ,  $\pi/4$ ,  $\varepsilon$ ), the convergence rate is almost inde-132 pendent of both the wavenumber and density of discretization points per wavelength. 133 In particular, the convergence for  $N_p = 2$  and  $N_p = 8$  is similar. This means that the 134 cost of the solution when solving local problems is comparable to the other methods 135 with usual local transmission conditions (see [11] for more details). 136



**Fig. 3.** Number of iterations with respect to the density of discretization  $n_{\lambda}$  and the number of subdomains  $N_{dom}$ 

In Fig. 3, we show the number of iterations with respect to: (i) the density of discretization points per wavelength  $n_{\lambda}$  for two wavenumbers k, and (ii) the number of 138 subdomains  $N_{dom}$ . We can see that for a small Padé number ( $N_p = 2$ ), the convergence is almost independent of the mesh size. A larger choice of  $N_p$  will provide an 140 optimal result. We also see that the number of iterations with respect to the number 141

of subdomains does not deteriorate with increasing values of  $N_p$  or k, contrary to 142 IBC(k/2). 143

### **6** Conclusion

We designed in this paper a new non-overlapping domain decomposition algorithm 145 for the Helmholtz equation with quasi-optimal convergence properties. It is based on 146 a suitable approach which consists in using Padé approximants to approximate the 147 DtN operator. The analysis of this new approach can be found in [11], as well as 148 several numerical tests including the three-dimensional case. 149

AcknowledgmentsY. Boubendir gratefully acknowledges support from NSF through grant150No. DMS-1016405.X. Antoine gratefully acknowledges support from the Agence Nationale151pour la Recherche (Ref: ANR-09-BLAN-0057-01) and the Fondation de Recherche pour152l'Aéronautique et l'Espace (IPPON Project).C. Geuzaine gratefully acknowledges support153from the Belgian Science Policy (IAP P6/21), Belgian French Community (ARC 09/14-02)154and Walloon Region (WIST3 No 1017086 "ONELAB").155

### **Bibliography**

- A. Bayliss, M. Gunzburger and E. Turkel. Boundary Conditions for the 157 Numerical Solutions of Elliptic Equations in Exterior Regions. *SIAM Journal* 158 of Applied Mathematics, 42:430–451, 1982.
- [2] B. Engquist and A. Majda. Absorbing Boundary Conditions for the Numerical 160 Simulation of Waves. *Mathematics of Computation*, 23:629–651, 1977.
- [3] Bruno Després. Domain decomposition method and the Helmholtz problem.II. 162
   In Second International Conference on Mathematical and Numerical Aspects 163
   of Wave Propagation (Newark, DE, 1993), pages 197–206, Philadelphia, PA, 164
   1993. SIAM. 165
- [4] C. Farhat, A. Macedo, M. Lesoinne, F.X. Roux, F. Magoulès and A. De La 166 Bourdonnaye. Two-Level Domain Decomposition Methods with Lagrange 167 Multipliers for the Fast Iterative Solution of Acoustic Scattering Problems. 168 *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 184:213–239, 169 2000. 170
- [5] M. Gander, F. Magoulès, F. Nataf. Optimized Schwarz Methods without Overlap for the Helmholtz Equation. SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 24(1):38–60, 2002.
- [6] P.-L. Lions. On the Schwarz Alternating Method III: A Variant for Non Overlapping Subdomains. In *Third International Symposium on Domain Decomposition Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, pages 20–22, Philadelphia, 175 PA, 1990. SIAM.
- [7] R. Kerchroud, X. Antoine and A. Soulaimani. Numerical Accuracy of a Padé-Type Non-Reflecting Boundary Condition for the Finite Element Solution of Acoustic Scattering Problems at High-Frequency. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 64:1275–1302, 2005.

156

144

Y. Boubendir, X. Antoine, and C. Geuzaine

- [8] X. Antoine, M. Darbas, and Y.Y. Lu. An Improved Surface Radiation Condition for High-Frequency Acoustics Scattering Problems. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 195:4060–4074, 2006.
- Y. Boubendir. An Analysis of the BEM-FEM Non-Overlapping Domain 184
   Decomposition Method for a Scattering Problem. *Journal of Computational* 185
   *and Applied Mathematics*, 204(2):282–291, 2007.
- Y. Boubendir, A. Bendali and M. B. Fares. Coupling of a Non-Overlapping 187
   Domain Decomposition Method for a Nodal Finite Element Method with a 188
   Boundary Element Method. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in* 189
   *Engineering*, 73(11):1624–1650, 2008. 190
- Y. Boubendir, X. Antoine and C. Geuzaine. A Quasi-Optimal Non-Overlapping
   Domain Decomposition Algorithm for the Helmholtz Equation. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 231:262–280, 2012.