Robust Coarsening in Multiscale PDEs

Robert Scheichl¹

Dept. Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath, Bath, UK. R. Scheichl@bath.ac.uk

1 Introduction

Consider a variationally-posed second-order elliptic boundary value problem

$$a(u,v) \equiv \int_{\Omega} \mathscr{A}(\mathbf{x}) \, \nabla u \cdot \nabla v = \int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x}), \quad \text{for all } v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \qquad (1)$$

with solution $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, d = 2, 3, where the coefficient tensor $\mathscr{A}(\mathbf{x})$ is highly *heterogeneous* (possibly in a spatially complicated way). We assume that $\mathscr{A}(\mathbf{x})$ is symmetric, uniformly positive definite and mildly anisotropic, i.e. $\lambda_{\min}(\mathscr{A}(\mathbf{x})) \gtrsim \lambda_{\max}(\mathscr{A}(\mathbf{x}))$ uniformly in \mathbf{x} . We are particularly interested in the case 10 when the *contrast* $\max_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\in\Omega}\lambda_{\max}(\mathscr{A}(\mathbf{x}))/\lambda_{\max}(\mathscr{A}(\mathbf{y}))$ is large. Many examples of 11 this type arise in subsurface flow modelling or in material science. The space $H_0^1(\Omega)$ 12 is the usual Sobolev space of functions with vanishing trace on $\partial\Omega$ and $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$. 13 For simplicity we assume for the remainder that $\mathscr{A}(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha(\mathbf{x})I$, i.e. a scalar diffusion 14 coefficient.

Let \mathscr{T}_h be a simplicial triangulation of Ω and let (1) be discretised in $V_h \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$, 16 the space of continuous, piecewise linear FE functions with respect to \mathscr{T}_h that vanish 17 on $\partial \Omega$. For simplicity let \mathscr{T}_h be quasi-uniform. The *a*-orthogonal projection of *u* to 18 V_h is denoted by u_h . In the usual nodal basis $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ for V_h , the problem of finding 19 u_h reduces to the $n \times n$ linear system 20

$$A\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{b} \tag{2}$$

1

2

3

4

5

6

with stiffness matrix $A = (a(\varphi_i, \varphi_j))_{i,j=1}^n$. Since the matrix A depends on α only 21 through element averages, we can assume (w.l.o.g.) that α is piecewise constant with 22 respect to \mathscr{T}_h . For simplicity we assume that α is piecewise constant with respect to 23 some non-overlapping partitioning of Ω into open, connected Lipschitz polyhedra 24 (polygons) $\{\mathscr{Y}_m\}_{m=1}^M$ and set $\alpha_m = \alpha|_{\mathscr{Y}_m}$.

Especially for d = 3 and for problems where α varies on a small length scale ²⁶ $\varepsilon \ll \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$, and thus the mesh size *h* needs to be very fine, multilevel itera-²⁷ tive solvers (multigrid, domain decomposition, etc.) are usually essential to solve ²⁸

R. Bank et al. (eds.), *Domain Decomposition Methods in Science and Engineering XX*, Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering 91, DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-35275-1_5, © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2013

this problem efficiently. Their scalability and robustness with respect to mesh re- 29 finement, as well as other discretisation parameters has been studied extensively. 30 Here we will focus on their robustness with respect to coefficient variation. We will 31 show that coefficient robustness is inherently linked to a judicious choice of coarse 32 space V_H (related to some coarse mesh \mathscr{T}_H with resolution H). If $\varepsilon \gtrsim H$ and if we 33 can choose a coarse mesh such that all coefficient jumps are aligned with the mesh, 34 then the coefficient robustness of standard coarse spaces has been analysed in the 35 1990s (cf. [3, 4, 10, 16, 21, 22, 25] and the references therein). For certain methods 36 the robustness may depend on the quasi-monotonicity of the coefficient with respect 37 to the coarse mesh (in the sense of [3]). Substructuring-type ("exotic") coarse spaces 38 are usually used to achieve uniform coefficient robustness. A certain amount of ro- 39 bustness can be recovered for standard piecewise linear coarse spaces by using the 40 multilevel solver as a preconditioner within CG (e.g. [24]). The key tool in all these 41 analyses is the weighted L_2 -projection of Bramble and Xu [1]. It requires a piece- 42 wise constant weight with respect to the coarse mesh, an assumption that is often far 43 too stringent in real applications. We want to move away from this and crucially here 44 make no assumptions that the underlying coarse grids resolve the coefficients. 45

A lot of effort in the last 25 years has gone into the development of algebraic 46 methods to construct coarse spaces, such as algebraic multigrid (AMG), rather than 47 analytic/geometric ones. It has been confirmed numerically that AMG methods are 48 in practice robust to coefficient variation when applied to (2) (i.e. the number of 49 iterations is unaffected), and they are therefore extremely popular. However, they are 50 built on several heuristics and so a rigorous analysis of their coefficient-robustness 51 is difficult (see [22] for a review of existing theoretical results). Nevertheless, the 52 key principle of these algebraic coarse spaces, namely energy minimisation [11], 53 also underlies many other coarse spaces. To obtain rigorous coefficient–independent 54 convergence results we will need to work in the following energy and weighted L_2 - 55 norms on $D \subset \Omega$, $\|v\|_{a,D} = \int_D \alpha |\nabla v|^2$ and $\|v\|_{0,\alpha,D} = \int_D \alpha v^2$, 56

respectively. When $D = \Omega$ we will usually not specify the domain explicitly.

58

67

A convenient framework to analyse most multilevel methods is the Schwarz or ⁵⁹ subspace correction framework [21, 23]. We restrict attention to the two-level over- ⁶⁰ lapping additive Schwarz method and focus on the robustness of various coarse ⁶¹ spaces for this method. We review some recent papers on the topic mainly by the ⁶² author (jointly with co-workers), as well as by Efendiev et al. All the results apply immediately also to multiplicative, hybrid and non-overlapping versions of the ⁶⁴ Schwarz method (see [9, 18] for some explicit comments). Many of the results can ⁶⁵ be extended to a multilevel theory [5, 18].

2 Schwarz Framework and Abstract Coarse Spaces

Let us assume that $\{\Omega_k\}_{k=1}^K$ is an overlapping partitioning of Ω and let Ω_k° be the 68 overlap of subdomain Ω_k , i.e. the set of points $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega_k$ that are contained in at least one 69

other subdomain. We assume that \mathscr{T}_h is aligned with this partitioning. Furthermore, ⁷⁰ let $\{\chi_k\}_{k=1}^K \subset V_h$ be an arbitrary partition of unity (POU) of FE functions subordinate ⁷¹ to $\{\Omega_k\}_{k=1}^K$ such that $\|\chi_k\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ and $\|\nabla\chi_k\|_{\infty} \leq \delta_k^{-1}$, for all k = 1, ..., K. Note that ⁷² (due to quasi-uniformity of \mathscr{T}_h) we always have $\delta_k \geq h$, and there is a partition of ⁷³ unity such that δ_k is proportional to the (minimal) width of Ω_k° . We assume as usual ⁷⁴ that each point $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ is contained in at most N_0 subdomains (*finite covering*).

We associate with each Ω_k the space $V_k = \{v \in V_h : \text{Supp}(v) \subset \overline{\Omega}_k\}$ and assume 76 that we have an additional *coarse space* 77

$$V_0 = V_H = \operatorname{span}\{\Phi_j \in V_h : j = 1, \dots, N\} \subset V_h.$$
78

81

89

Let $\omega_j = \text{interior}(\text{Supp}(\Phi_j))$ and set $H_j = \text{diam}(\omega_j)$. Then $H = \max_j H_j$ is the coarse remember mesh size associated with V_H .

The two-level additive Schwarz preconditioner is now simply

$$M_{\rm AS}^{-1} = R_0^T A_0^{-1} R_0 + \sum_{k=1}^K R_k^T A_k^{-1} R_k \quad \text{with} \quad A_k = R_k A R_k^T \,.$$

 R_k is the matrix representation of a restriction operator from V to V_k : the simple satisfies injection operator for $k \ge 1$, and for k = 0 induced by the coarse space basis $\{\Phi_j\}_{j=1}^N$ so that the coarse space stiffness matrix is $A_0 = (a(\Phi_i, \Phi_\ell))_{i,\ell}^N$.

The following result can be proved in the same way as [18, Theorem 2.5]. Since ⁸⁶ it is instructive, we give an outline of the proof. ⁸⁷

Theorem 1. If there exists an operator $\Pi: V_h \to V_0$ such that for all $v \in V_h$ 88

$$\|\Pi v\|_{a}^{2} \leq C_{1} \|v\|_{a}^{2} \quad and \quad \sum_{k=1}^{K} \|(v - \Pi v)\nabla \chi_{k}\|_{0,\alpha}^{2} \leq C_{2} \|v\|_{a}^{2},$$
(3)

then $\kappa(M_{\rm AS}^{-1}A) \lesssim C_1 + C_2$. The hidden constant depends on N_0 .

Proof. Let $v_0 = \Pi v$ be such that (3) holds and choose $v_k = I_h(\chi_k(v - v_0))$, where I_h 90 is the standard nodal interpolant on V_h . This interpolant is stable for all piecewise 91 quadratic functions in the energy norm and in the weighted L_2 -norm (independently 92 of α) (cf. [18, Lemma 2.3]), and so we get 93

$$\sum_{k=0}^{K} \|v_{k}\|_{a}^{2} \lesssim \|v_{0}\|_{a}^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \|\chi_{k}(v-v_{0})\|_{a}^{2} \\ \lesssim \|v_{0}\|_{a}^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \|\chi_{k}\|_{\infty}^{2} \|v-v_{0}\|_{a,\Omega_{k}}^{2} + \|(v-v_{0})\nabla\chi_{k}\|_{0,\alpha}^{2}.$$

Now, the boundedness of the POU functions, the finite cover assumption, as well as 94 (3) lead to the stability estimate $\sum_{k=0}^{K} ||v_k||_a^2 \lesssim (C_1 + C_2) ||v||_a^2$. Since $v = \sum_{k=0}^{K} v_k$, the 95 result follows from the abstract Schwarz theory (cf. [21]). 96

This result shows the importance of the choice of coarse space. Provided we have 97 a good coarse space approximation in the weighted L_2 -norm that is moreover stable 98 in the energy norm, independently of variations in α , then the bound on the condition 99 number for two-level additive Schwarz is also robust with respect to these variations. 100 Note that it is crucial to use the weighted L_2 and the energy norm here to achieve 101

coefficient-robustness, and that we only require weak L_2 -approximation in regions where $\nabla \chi_k \neq 0.$ 103

Several approaches have been studied in [2, 5–9, 17–19] to provide constants 104 in (3) that are independent of α (or at least of the contrast in α) for various coarse 105 spaces. However, in most cases the constants are not independent of $\frac{H}{\varepsilon}$, where ε 106 is the minimal length scale at which α varies in the regions where $\nabla \chi_k \neq 0$. So 107 unfortunately in general, to be also independent of $\frac{H}{\varepsilon}$, restrictions on the coarse mesh 108 size are needed, at least locally. 109

Let us discuss the assumptions (3) a bit further. Let $\Pi v = \sum_j f_j(v) \Phi_j$, where 110 $f_j: V_h \to \mathbb{R}$ is a suitable functional. Then 111

$$\|\Pi v\|_{a} = \left\|\sum_{j} f_{j}(v) \Phi_{j}\right\|_{a} \le \sum_{j} |f_{j}(v)| \|\Phi_{j}\|_{a}.$$
 112

We see that a set of coarse basis functions with bounded energy (independent of α) 113 is beneficial. The first approaches in [8, 9, 17] attacked this target directly and aimed 114 at bounding $\|\Phi_j\|_a$. In that case, it suffices to use the standard quasi-interpolant. 115 Alternatively, a weighted quasi-interpolant with $f_j(v) = \int_{\omega_j} \alpha v / \int_{\omega_j} \alpha$ can be used. 116 For certain (locally quasi-monotone) coefficients α this leads to a constant C_1 that 117 is independent of the contrast in α , even if the energy of the basis functions is not 118 bounded (see below). 119

Similar comments can be made about the second assumption in (3). Note that 120

$$\|(v - \Pi v)\nabla \chi_k\|_{0,\alpha}^2 \leq \begin{cases} \|\alpha |\nabla \chi_k|^2\|_{\infty} \|v - \Pi v\|_{0,\Omega_k^{\alpha}}^2, & \text{or} \\ \|\nabla \chi_k\|_{\infty}^2 \|v - \Pi v\|_{0,\alpha,\Omega_k^{\alpha}}^2. \end{cases}$$
¹²¹

We can either try to choose a partition of unity $\{\chi_k\}$ such that $\|\alpha|\nabla\chi_k|^2\|_{\infty}$ is bounded independently of α , which is again related to energy minimisation, or we can try to bound $\|\nu - \Pi\nu\|_{0,\alpha,\Omega_k^\circ}$ directly. As above, it is possible for certain (locally quasimonotone) coefficients to achieve this and to obtain a constant C_2 that does not depend on the contrast in α (see below).

When the coefficient is not locally quasi-monotone, then it is in general necessary 127 to enrich the coarse space, by either refining the coarse mesh locally, or by choosing 128 more than one basis function per subdomain Ω_k , with the key tool to achieve coarse 129 space robustness being again energy minimisation. 130

To highlight some of the key issues we will use a number of representative model 131 problems shown in Fig. 1. For the rest of the paper, we will only focus on cases, such 132 as Fig. 1c, h, where it is impossible or impractical that the subdomains $\{\Omega_k\}$ and 133 the supports $\{\omega_j\}$ of the coarse basis functions resolve the coefficient jumps. The 134 resolved cases in Fig. 1a, b have already been studied extensively, see e.g. [3, 4, 10, 135 16, 21, 22, 24, 25]. 136

3 Analysis of Coefficient–Robustness

We present three possible approaches to try and prove coefficient robustness rigorously and thus to design robust coarse spaces. For simplicity, we assume that for each j = 1, ..., N, there exists a k = 1, ..., K such that $\omega_j \subset \Omega_k$.

137



Fig. 1. Typical coefficient distributions (a) resolved; (b) not quasi-monotone; (c) neither quasimonotone nor resolved; (d) channelised; (e) flow barriers; (f) low permeability inclusions; (g) high permeability inclusions; (h) high permeability inclusions and channels

3.1 Standard Quasi-interpolant and Energy Minimisation

The first approach makes use of the standard quasi-interpolant

$$\Pi v = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \overline{v}_{\omega_j} \Phi_j, \quad \text{where} \quad \overline{v}_{\omega_j} = \frac{1}{|\omega_j|} \int_{\omega_j} v.$$
 143

141

142

Let $\{\Phi_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be a set of bounded coarse basis functions that form a partition 144 of unity, except in a boundary layer of width $\mathcal{O}(H)$ near $\partial \Omega$. Since each support 145 $\omega_j \subset \Omega_k$, for some k, the supports have finite overlap. The constants C_1 and C_2 can 146 now be bounded independent of the contrast in α , if either 147

$$\gamma_{2}(\alpha, \{\Phi_{j}\}) = \max_{j=1}^{N} H_{j}^{2-d} \|\Phi_{j}\|_{a}^{2} \text{ and } \gamma_{\infty}(\alpha, \{\chi_{k}\}) = \max_{k=1}^{K} \delta_{k}^{2} \|\alpha^{1/2} \nabla \chi_{k}\|_{\infty}^{2}$$
 148

(the so-called *coarse space and partitioning robustness indicators*) can be bounded 149 independent of α , for some choice of the partition of unity $\{\chi_k\}_{k=1}^K$ subordinate to 150 $\{\Omega_k\}_{k=1}^K$ (cf. [8]), or if $\gamma_{\infty}(\alpha, \{\Phi_j\})$ can be bounded independent of α (cf. [17]). 151 As mentioned above, this leads to the aim to construct coarse basis functions with 152 minimal or bounded energy. It is also at the heart of matrix-dependent prolongation 153 operators in multigrid methods. 154

For certain binary coefficient distributions, e.g. for high-permeability inclusions 155 in a low-permeability medium as depicted in Fig. 1g, it was then possible in [8] to 156 show (rigorously) under the assumption $\alpha \gtrsim 1$ that multiscale FEs (w.r.t. some coarse 157 mesh \mathcal{T}_H) can provide such a basis $\{\Phi_j\}$, and that the indicators can be bounded 158 independent of the contrast in α . However, they depend on H/ε , where ε is the 159 minimum width of any island/gap. 160

Similarly, it was possible in [17] to show (again assuming $\alpha \gtrsim 1$) that aggregation based on a strong connection criterion (originally designed for AMG methods) 162

leads to a coarse basis $\{\Phi_j\}$ for which the robustness indicators can be bounded independent of the contrast in α . Here the bounds depend on H/h, since the overlap between any two supports is only $\mathcal{O}(h)$.

However, this approach to analyse robustness fails even for the simpler, reverse situation of a high-permeability medium with low-permeability inclusions (e.g. 167 Fig. 1f), since in this case $\gamma_2(\alpha, \{\Phi_j\})$ and $\gamma_{\infty}(\alpha, \{\Phi_j\})$ depend on the contrast in α 168 for any choice of $\{\Phi_j\}$. Clearly a different quasi-interpolant Π is needed in general. 169

3.2 Weighted Quasi-interpolant and Poincaré's Inequality

170

The next approach to try to prove the assumptions in Theorem 1 makes use of the 171 weighted quasi-interpolant 172

$$\Pi v = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \overline{v}_{\omega_j}^{\alpha} \Phi_j, \quad \text{where} \quad \overline{v}_{\omega_j}^{\alpha} = \int_{\omega_j} \alpha v / \int_{\omega_j} \alpha. \quad 173$$

We describe this approach for one of the simplest coarse spaces, the piecewise 174 linear one. The following is taken from [18] (see also [6] for earlier results). Let 175 V_H be the continuous, piecewise linear FE space associated with a shape-regular 176 simplicial triangulation \mathcal{T}_H of Ω , such that \mathcal{T}_h is a refinement of \mathcal{T}_H . The functions $\{\Phi_j\}_{j=1}^N$ are the standard nodal basis for V_H . For simplicity, we assume that 178 $\{\Omega_k\}_{k=1}^K = \{\omega_j\}_{j=1}^N$, and choose $\chi_k = \Phi_k$ (suitably modified near $\partial\Omega$), so that the 179 assumptions on $\{\chi_k\}$ are satisfied with $\delta_k \sim H_k$.

The key observation in [18] is now that one further assumption suffices to fully the dependency of the constants C_1 and C_2 in (3) on α :

Assumption 1 Let $\omega_T = \bigcup_{\{k: \omega_k \cap T \neq \emptyset\}} \omega_k$ and $H_T = \text{diam}(\omega_T)$, for $T \in \mathscr{T}_H$, and assume that there exists a $C_T^* > 0$ such that, for all $v \in V_h$, either 184

$$\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\omega_T} \alpha (v-c)^2 d\mathbf{x} \lesssim C_T^* H_T^2 \int_{\omega_T} \alpha |\nabla v|^2 d\mathbf{x}, \quad \text{or}$$
(4)

$$\partial \omega_T \cap \partial \Omega \neq \emptyset$$
 and $\int_{\omega_T} \alpha v^2 d\mathbf{x} \lesssim C_T^* H_T^2 \int_{\omega_T} \alpha |\nabla v|^2 d\mathbf{x}.$ (5)

Proposition 1. Let Assumption 1 hold. Then $C_1 + C_2 \lesssim C^* = \max_{T \in \mathscr{T}_H} C^*_T$.

Proof. Let $v \in V_h$ and $v_0 = \sum_{j=1}^N \overline{v}_{\omega_j}^{\alpha} \Phi_j$. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have 187 $|\overline{v}_{\omega_j}^{\alpha}|^2 \leq \int_{\omega_j} \alpha v^2 / \int_{\omega_j} \alpha$, and so, using the fact that $\Phi_j \leq 1$, 188

which also implies $\int_T \alpha (v - v_0)^2 \lesssim \int_{\omega_T} \alpha v^2$. Now, multiplying the left hand side by 190 $|\nabla \chi_k|_T^2$ (which is a constant $\sim H_T^{-2}$) and summing over $k \ge 1$, we get 191

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \| (v-v_0) \nabla \chi_k \|_{0,\alpha,T}^2 \lesssim H_T^{-2} \int_{\omega_T} \alpha v^2.$$
(6)

If $\{\Phi_j\}$ forms a partition of unity on all of ω_T (i.e. if $\partial \omega_T \cap \partial \Omega = \emptyset$), we can 192 replace v in (6) by $\hat{v} = v - c$, for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, without changing the integral on the left 193 hand side. Otherwise we set $\hat{v} = v$. In both cases, by Assumption 1 194

$$\int_{\omega_T} \alpha \hat{v}^2 \lesssim C_T^* H_T^2 \int_{\omega_T} \alpha |\nabla v|^2.$$
(7)

195

196

214

Combining (6) and (7) and summing over all $T \in \mathcal{T}_H$ gives the bound for C_2 . The bound for C_1 can be established in a similar way (cf. [18, Lemma 4.1]).

Assumption 1 postulates the existence of a discrete weighted Poincaré/ Friedrichs-type inequality on each ω_T . It always holds, but in general the constants C_T^* 198 will not be independent of $\alpha|_{\omega_T}$ and H_T/h . As described in detail in [18, Sect. 3] 199 (see also [13–15]), to obtain independence of α , we require a certain local quasimonotonicity of α on each of the regions ω_T .

Weighted Poincaré Inequalities. Let us consider a generic coarse element $T \in \mathscr{T}_H$ 202 and define the following subsets of ω_T where α is constant: 203

$$\omega^m = \omega_T \cap \mathscr{Y}_m, \qquad m = 1, \dots, M.$$
 204

By $\mathscr{I}_T \subset \{1, \ldots, M\}$ we denote the index set of all regions ω^m that are non-empty. 205 Let us assume w.l.o.g. that each of these subregions is connected. We generalise 206 now the notion of quasi-monotonicity coined in [3] by considering the following 207 three (two) directed combinatorial graphs $\Gamma^{(k)} = (\mathbf{N}, \mathscr{E}^{(k)}), \ 0 \le k \le d-1$, where 208 $\mathbf{N} = \{\omega^m : m \in \mathscr{I}_T\}$ and the edges are ordered pairs of vertices. We distinguish 209 between three (two) different types of connections. 210

Definition 1. Suppose that $\gamma^{m,m_2} = \overline{\omega}^m \cap \overline{\omega}^{m_2}$ is a non-empty manifold of dimension 211 k, for $0 \le k \le d-1$. The ordered pair (ω^m, ω^{m_2}) is an edge in $\mathscr{E}^{(k)}$, if and only if 212 $\alpha_m \lesssim \alpha_{m_2}$. The edges in $\mathscr{E}^{(k)}$ are said to be of type-k. 213

In addition, for $1 \le k \le d - 1$, we assume that

- $\operatorname{meas}(\gamma^{m,m_2}) \sim \operatorname{meas}(\omega^m \cup \omega^{m_2})^{k/d}$, and 215
- γ^{m,m_2} is sufficiently regular, i.e. it is a finite union of shape–regular *k*-dimensional 216 simplices of diameter ~ meas $(\gamma^{m,m_2})^{1/k}$. 217

Quasi-monotonicity is related to the connectivity in $\Gamma^{(k)}$. Let $m_* \in \mathscr{I}_T$ be the 218 index of the region ω^{m_*} with the largest coefficient: $\alpha_{m_*} = \max_{m \in \mathscr{I}_T} \alpha_m$. 219

Definition 2. The coefficient α is type-k quasi-monotone on ω_T , if there is a path in 220 $\Gamma^{(k)}$ from any vertex ω^m to ω^{m_*} .

The following lemma summarises the results in [13–15]. The existence of a 222 benign constant C_T^* that is independent of α is directly linked to quasi-monotonicity, 223 the way in which C_T^* depends on H_T/h to the type. 224

Lemma 1. Let $\omega_T \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, d = 2, 3. If α is type-k quasi-monotone on ω_T , then (4) 225 holds with 226

$$C_T^* = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = d - 1, \\ 1 + \log\left(\frac{H_T}{h}\right), \text{if } k = d - 2, \\ \frac{H_T}{h}, & \text{if } k = d - 3. \end{cases}$$
(8)

A similar result can also be established in the case where $\partial \omega_K \cap \partial \Omega \neq \emptyset$, i.e. the 227 case of Friedrichs inequality (5), see e.g. [18, Sect. 3] for details. 228

Quasi-monotonicity is crucial. If the coefficient is not quasi-monotone, e.g. the 229 situation in Fig. 1d, then C^* cannot be bounded independent of α . See [18, Exam-230 ple 3.1] for a counter example. If the coarse mesh is not adjusted in certain critical 231 areas of Ω , then V_H is in general not robust. The numerical results in [18] show 232 that this is indeed the case and that quasi-monotonicity is necessary and sufficient. 233 However, a few simple adjustments suffice, namely \mathscr{T}_H has to be sufficiently fine in 234 certain "critical" areas of Ω :

- 1. Choose $H_T \leq \varepsilon_m$, for all $T \in \mathscr{T}_H$ that intersect a region \mathscr{Y}_m that is bordered by 236 two regions $\mathscr{Y}_{m'}$ and $\mathscr{Y}_{m''}$ with $\alpha_{m'} \gg \alpha_m$ and $\alpha_{m''} \gg \alpha_m$. Here ε_m denotes the 237 width of \mathscr{Y}_m at its narrowest point. This ensures that α is quasi-monotone on all 238 regions ω_T that intersect \mathscr{Y}_m .
- 2. Choose $H_T \leq h$, near any point or edge where α is only type-(d-2) or type-(d-3) quasi-monotone, i.e. near any cross point. 241

Usually a logarithmic growth $C^* \sim \max_T \log(H_T/h)$ is acceptable, and so even re- 242 gions where the coefficient is type-(d-2) quasi-monotone do not require any par- 243 ticular attention. 244

For an arbitrary piecewise constant coefficient function α there will often only be 245 a relatively small (fixed) number of regions ω_T where α is not quasi-monotone (see 246 e.g. Fig. 1b, e). Therefore it is very easy to ensure through some local refinement of 247 \mathscr{T}_H near these regions that $C^* \sim 1$ (or $C^* \sim \log(H/h)$). Note that crucially, this local 248 refinement does not mean that \mathscr{T}_H has to be aligned with coefficient jumps anywhere 249 in Ω . The coarse grid merely has to be sufficiently fine in regions where α is not 250 quasi-monotone. Ideas on how to adapt \mathscr{T}_H in such a way are suggested in [18]. 251

"Exotic" coarse spaces. Substructuring-type ("exotic") coarse spaces (as suggested 252 in [3, 4, 16]) can be analysed in a similar way. Here the coarse basis functions are 253 constructed as *a*-harmonic extensions of face, edge or vertex "cut" functions associated with a non-overlapping decomposition \mathcal{T}_H of the domain. This decomposition 255 may be related to the overlapping partitioning { Ω_k }, or it may come from a separate 256 coarse grid (not necessarily simplicial). If the coefficient does not vary along any of 257 the edges/faces of \mathcal{T}_H , then the space can be analysed like the piecewise linear one 258 above, using in addition the energy minimising property of the *a*-harmonic extension (cf. [14]). If the coefficient does vary along an edge/face, then special weighted 260 Poincaré inequalities for functions with vanishing weighted averages across edges/faces are required. These have recently been introduced in the context of FETI-DP 262 methods in [12], which also analyses the robustness of the "cut" functions. An explicit analysis in the context of overlapping Schwarz does not yet exist. 264

3.3 Abstract Minimisation with Functional Constraints

265

An alternative to refining the coarse mesh in regions where α is not type–(d-1) ²⁶⁶ or type–(d-2) quasi-monotone, is to associate more than one basis function (with ²⁶⁷ possibly identical supports) with each subdomain Ω_k . Let ²⁶⁸

Robust Coarsening in Multiscale PDEs

$$V_0 = \operatorname{span}\{\Phi_{k,j} = I_h(\chi_k \Psi_{k,j}) : j = 1, \dots, N_k, \ k = 1, \dots, K\},$$
 269

where $\Psi_{k,j}$, $j = 1, ..., N_k$, are suitable FE functions in $V_h(\overline{\Omega}_k)$ (that do not vanish on 270 $\partial \Omega_k$) such that the functions $\{\Phi_{k,j}\} \subset V_h$ are linearly independent. Good choices for 271 the functions $\Psi_{k,j}$ are the lowest modes of local eigenproblems, or more generally, 272 energy minimising functions that satisfy suitable constraints. The following analysis 273 is from [19] (see [2, 7] for related work).

In particular, let us assume that, for every Ω_k , we have a collection of linear 275 functionals $\{f_{k,j}\}_{j=1}^{N_k} \subset V_h(\overline{\Omega}_k)'$ and let 276

$$\Psi_{k,j} = \arg\min_{v \in V_h(\overline{\Omega}_k)} |v|_a^2, \quad \text{subject to} \quad f_{k,l}(\Psi_{k,j}) = \delta_{jl} \quad j,l = 1, \dots, N_k.$$
(9)

Now, for any $v \in V_h$, choose the following quasi-interpolant

$$\Pi v = \sum_{k=1}^{K} I_h \left(\chi_k \Pi_{\Omega_k} v \right), \quad \text{where} \quad \Pi_{\Omega_k} v = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} f_{k,j}(v|_{\Omega_k}) \Psi_{k,j}, \qquad 278$$

i.e. a linear combination of the basis functions $\Phi_{k,j}$ with weights $f_{k,j}(v|_{\Omega_k})$. Then 279 the bounds on C_1 and C_2 in Theorem 3 depend only on the stability and on the local 280 L_2 -approximation properties of Π_{Ω_k} on each Ω_k . 281

Theorem 1. For all
$$k = 1, ..., K$$
 and for all $v \in V_h(\overline{\Omega}_k)$, let 282

$$\|\Pi_{\Omega_k}v\|_{a,\Omega_k}^2 \le \|v\|_{a,\Omega_k}^2 \text{ and } \|v - \Pi_{\Omega_k}v\|_{0,\alpha,\Omega_k}^2 \lesssim \operatorname{diam}(\Omega_k)^2 \|u\|_{a,\Omega_k}^2.$$
(10)

Then $C_1 = \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $C_2 \lesssim (\operatorname{diam}(\Omega_k)/\delta_k)^2$.

Proof. See [19, Theorem 5.1].

Note that the minimisation problems in (9) are local to each subdomain. There are 285 suitable choices for the functionals $f_{k,j}$ that guarantee (10) and that lead to practical 286 algorithms to construct the functions $\Psi_{k,j}$, $j = 1, ..., N_k$: 287

• $f_{k,j}(v) = (\Psi_{k,j}, v)_{0,\alpha,\Omega_k}$ where $\Psi_{k,j}$ is the *j*th eigenfunction corresponding to the 288 variational eigenproblem: Find $\eta \in V_h(\overline{\Omega}_k)$ and $\lambda \ge 0$, such that 289

$$a(\eta, w) = \lambda(\eta, w)_{0,\alpha,\Omega_k}, \quad \text{for all} \quad w \in V_h(\overline{\Omega}_k).$$
(11)

This has first been suggested and analysed in [7].

- *f_{k,j}(v)* = (Ψ_{k,j}, *v*)_{0,α,∂Ω_k} where Ψ_{k,j} is the *j*th eigenfunction corresponding to a ²⁹¹ variational eigenproblem similar to (11), but with (η, w)_{0,α,∂Ω_k} instead of ²⁹² (η, w)_{0,α,Ω_k} on the right hand side of (11), i.e. an eigenproblem of Steklov- ²⁹³ Poincaré type. This has been analysed in [2].
- $f_{k,j}(v) = \overline{v}_{D_{k,j}}^{\alpha}$ where $\{D_{k,j}\}_{j=1}^{N_k}$ is a suitable non-overlapping partitioning of Ω_k 295 such that the weighted Poincaré inequality (4) holds on each $D_{k,j}$ (e.g. $D_{k,j}$ = 296 $\Omega_k \cap \mathscr{Y}_j$). The construction of $\{\Psi_{k,j}\}$ requires the solution of N_k local saddle 297 point systems and was suggested and analysed in [19].

283

284

277

290

It has been shown in [2, 7] how (10) can be proved (directly) in the first two cases, essentially based on the observation that the coarse space consists of the lowest modes corresponding to the operator pencil associated to the energy and to the weighted L_2 -norm. But the assumptions can be proved for a much wider class of functionals using the following abstract approximation result in [19]. This result is related to the classical Bramble-Hilbert lemma.

Abstract Approximation Result. Consider an abstract symmetric and continuous 305 bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, as well as a collection of linear functionals 306 $\{f_l\}_{l=1}^m \subset V'$, where $V \subset \mathscr{H}$ and \mathscr{H} is a Hilbert space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. We make 307 the following assumptions on $a(\cdot, \cdot), V, \mathscr{H}, \|\cdot\|$ and $\{f_l\}$: 308

A1. $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is positive semi-definite and defines a semi-norm $|\cdot|_a$ on V, i.e. 309

$$|v|_a^2 = a(v, v) \ge 0$$
, for all $v \in V$. 310

In addition, for $v \in V$, the expression $\sqrt{\|v\|^2 + |v|_a^2}$ defines a norm on V.

A2. Let
$$c_q$$
 be a generic constant. For all $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ there exists a $v_{\mathbf{q}} \in V$ with 312

$$f_l(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{q}}) = q_l, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{q}}\| \lesssim c_q \|\mathbf{q}\|_{l^2(\mathbf{R}^m)}.$$
 313

314

315

319

A3. There are two constants c_a and c_f such that

$$\|v\|^{2} \leq c_{a} |v|_{a}^{2} + c_{f} \sum_{l=1}^{m} |f_{l}(v)|^{2}, \quad \text{for all } v \in V.$$
(12)

Now, as in the specific case above, define for all $v \in V$,

$$\pi v = \sum_{l=1}^{m} f_l(v) \psi_l, \quad \text{where} \quad \psi_l = \arg\min_{v \in V} |v|_a^2, \quad \text{subject to} \quad f_l(\psi_j) = \delta_{jl}. \quad \text{316}$$

Then the following inequalities hold; see [19, Theorem 3.3].

Theorem 3. Let Assumptions A1–A3 be satisfied. Then, for all $u \in V$: 318

$$|\pi u|_a \le |u|_a \quad and \quad ||u - \pi u|| \le \sqrt{c_a} |u|_a.$$
(13)

(Note that they are independent of the constants c_q and c_f in A2 and A3.)

In the specific case considered above, on an arbitrary subdomain Ω_k , Assumption **A1** is naturally satisfied with $\mathscr{H} = L_2(\Omega_k)$ and $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{0,\alpha,\Omega_k}$. Assumption 321 **A2** merely ensures that the linear functionals are linearly independent. Thus, the 322 question of coarse space robustness is reduced to verifying Assumption **A3**. For one 323 functional, i.e. for m = 1, this reduces to the weighted Poincaré inequality in Sect. 3.2 and to the restrictions on the coefficients made there. For more than one functional, 325 it opens the possibility to get coefficient robustness even in the case of non-quasigent possibility to get coefficient in Fig. 1b, d and even h. See [2, 7, 19] for the complete analysis and some numerical experiments that confirm the robustset of the functionals defined on the previous page. See also [20] for a more recent extension to systems of elliptic PDEs (such as linear elasticity). 330

Bibliography

- J.H. Bramble and J. Xu. Some estimates for a weighted L2 projection. 332 Math. Comput., 56:436–476, 1991.
- [2] V. Dolean, F. Nataf, R. Scheichl, and N. Spillane. Analysis of a two-level 334 Schwarz method with coarse spaces based on local Dirichlet-to-Neumann 335 maps. Technical Report HAL-00586246, Hyper Articles en Ligne, 2011. 336
- [3] M. Dryja, M. Sarkis, and O.B. Widlund. Multilevel Schwarz methods for 337 elliptic problems with discontinuous coefficients in three dimensions. *Nu* 338 *mer. Math.*, 72:313–348, 1996. 339
- [4] M. Dryja, B.F. Smith, and O.B. Widlund. Schwarz analysis of iterative substructuring algorithms for elliptic problems in three dimensions. *SIAM J Numer. Anal.*, 31:1662–1694, 1994.
- Y. Efendiev, J. Galvis, R. Lazarov, and J. Willems. Robust domain decomposition preconditioners for abstract symmetric positive definite bilinear forms.
 Technical Report 2011–05, RICAM, Linz, 2011. Accepted for publication in *Math. Model. Numer. Anal.*
- [6] J. Galvis and Y. Efendiev. Domain decomposition preconditioners for multiscale flows in high contrast media. *Multiscale Model. Sim.*, 8(4):1461–1483, 348 2010.
- [7] J. Galvis and Y. Efendiev. Domain decomposition preconditioners for multiscale flows in high contrast media: reduced dimension coarse spaces. *Multiscale Model. Sim.*, 8(5):1621–1644, 2010.
- [8] I.G. Graham, P. Lechner, and R. Scheichl. Domain decomposition for multiscale PDEs. *Numer. Math.*, 106:589–626, 2007.
- [9] I.G. Graham and R. Scheichl. Robust domain decomposition algorithms for 355 multiscale PDEs. *Numer. Meth. Part. D. E.*, 23:859–878, 2007.
- J. Mandel and M. Brezina. Balancing domain decomposition for problems with large jumps in coefficients. *Math. Comp.*, 65:1387–1401, 1996.
- J. Mandel, M. Brezina, and P. Vanek. Energy optimisation of algebraic multigrid bases. *Computing*, 62:205–228, 1999.
- [12] C. Pechstein, M. Sarkis, and R. Scheichl. New theoretical coefficient robustness 361 results for FETI-DP. To appear in Proceedings of the 20th Inter. Conf. on 362 Domain Decomposition Methods, San Diego., 2011. 363
- [13] C. Pechstein and R. Scheichl. Weighted Poincaré inequalities. NuMa-Report 364 2010–10, Inst. Comput. Mathematics, J.K. University Linz, 2010. Accepted for 365 publication in *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* 366
- [14] C. Pechstein and R. Scheichl. Analysis of FETI methods for multiscale PDEs 367 – part II: Interface variation. *Numer. Math.*, 118(3):485–529, 2011. 368
- [15] C. Pechstein and R. Scheichl. Weighted Poincaré inequalities and applications 369 in domain decomposition. In Y. Huang et al., editors, *Domain Decomposition 370 Methods in Science and Engineering XIX*, volume 78 of *Lecture Notes in Com-371 putational Science and Engineering*, 2011. 372

- [16] M. Sarkis. Nonstandard coarse spaces and Schwarz methods for elliptic problems with discontinuous coefficients using non-conforming elements. Numer. Math., 77:383–406, 1997.
- [17] R. Scheichl and E. Vainikko. Additive Schwarz and aggregation-based coarsening for elliptic problems with highly variable coefficients. *Computing*, 80:319– 343, 2007.
- [18] R. Scheichl, P.S. Vassilevski, and L. Zikatanov. Multilevel methods for elliptic 379 problems with highly varying coefficients on non-aligned coarse grids. Report 380 LLNL-JRNL-451252, Lawrence Livermore Nat. Lab., 2010. To appear in *SIAM* 381 *J. Numer. Anal.* 382
- [19] R. Scheichl, P.S. Vassilevski, and L. Zikatanov. Weak approximation properties 383 of elliptic projections with functional constraints. *Multiscale Model. Simul.*, 384 9(4):1677–1699, 2011.
- [20] N. Spillane, V. Dolean, P. Hauret, F. Nataf, C. Pechstein, and R. Scheichl. Abstract robust coarse spaces for systems of PDEs via generalized eigenproblems
 in the overlaps. NuMa-Report 2011–07, Inst. Comput. Mathematics, J.K. University Linz, 2011. Submitted.
- [21] A. Toselli and O. Widlund. Domain Decomposition Methods Algorithms and Theory. Springer, Berlin, 2005.
 391
- [22] P.S. Vassilevski. Multilevel Block-Factorization Preconditioners: Matrix-based 392 Analysis and Algorithms for Solving FE Equations. Springer, 2008.
 393
- [23] J. Xu. Iterative methods by space decomposition and subspace correction. 394 SIAM Review, 34:581–613, 1992.
- [24] J. Xu and Y. Zhu. Uniform convergent multigrid methods for elliptic problems 396 with strongly discontinuous coefficients. *Math. Mod. Meth. Appl. S.*, 18:1–29, 397 2008.
- [25] J. Xu and J. Zou. Some nonoverlapping domain decomposition methods. SIAM 399 Review, 40:857–914, 1998.