

Fully Discrete Schwarz Waveform Relaxation on Two Bounded Overlapping Subdomains

Ronald D. Haynes and Khaled Mohammad

1 Introduction

Overlapping Schwarz waveform relaxation (SWR) provides space–time parallelism by iteratively solving partial differential equations (PDEs) over a time window on overlapping spatial subdomains. SWR has been studied for many problems at the continuous and discrete levels. Gander and Stuart [5] and Giladi and Keller [6] have analyzed SWR for the heat equation on a finite spatial domain in the continuous and semi-discrete (in space) cases. Semi-discrete (in space) analysis for reaction diffusion equations on an infinite spatial domain can be found in [10]. Closely related work on applications of WR methods to RC type circuits can be found in [3, 2, 1] (continuous in time analysis), [11] (infinite circuit, discrete in time), [8, 9] (fractional order, infinite circuit, discrete and continuous resp. in time), and [12] (Volterra integro-PDEs, infinite spatial domain). Fully discrete analysis for Schrödinger’s equation and the wave equation can be found in [7] and [4]. We provide an analysis of a full space–time discretization of SWR for the heat equation on two overlapping, bounded subdomains, which does not appear to be in the literature.

Consider the one dimensional heat equation $u_t = u_{xx} + f(x, t)$ for $-L < x < L$ and $0 < t \leq T$ subject to initial and boundary conditions $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$, $u(-L, t) = h_1(t)$, and $u(L, t) = h_2(t)$. Discretizing in space with central finite differences on $\Omega^h = \{x_m : x_{m+1} = x_m + \Delta x, m = -N, \dots, N - 1\}$, where $\Delta x = \frac{L}{N}$ and $x_{-N} = -L$, leads to the IVP

$$\frac{d\mathbf{u}(t)}{dt} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}(t) + \mathbf{f}(t), \quad 0 < t \leq T, \quad \mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0, \quad (1)$$

Ronald D. Haynes
Memorial University, St. John’s, Newfoundland, Canada, e-mail: rhaynes@mun.ca

Khaled Mohammad
Memorial University, St. John’s, Newfoundland, Canada, e-mail: km2605@mun.ca

where $\mathbf{u}(t)$ is the solution vector on the interior of Ω_h with components $u_m(t)$, $m = -(N-1), \dots, (N-1)$, which are the semi-discrete approximations of $u(x, t)$ at $x = x_m$. Here $A = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \text{tridiag}\{1, -2, 1\} \in \mathbb{R}^{(2N-1) \times (2N-1)}$,

$$\mathbf{f}(t) = (f(x_{-(N-1)}, t) + \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} h_1(t), f(x_{-(N-2)}, t), \dots, f(x_{(N-2)}, t), f(x_{(N-1)}, t) + \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} h_2(t))^T$$

and $\mathbf{u}_0 = (u_0(x_{-(N-1)}), \dots, u_0(x_{(N-1)}))^T$.

2 Semi-discretized SWR

To obtain the classical SWR solution of (1), we decompose Ω^h into two overlapping subdomains: $\Omega_1^h = \{x_{-N}, x_{-(N-1)}, \dots, x_M\}$ and $\Omega_2^h = \{x_{-M}, x_{-(M-1)}, \dots, x_N\}$ where the quantity $M \geq 1$ is an integer that determines the overlap size.

The classical semi-discrete SWR algorithm on the two subdomains, Ω_1^h and Ω_2^h , can be written as : for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, for $j = 1, 2$ solve

$$\frac{d\mathbf{u}_j^k(t)}{dt} = A_j \mathbf{u}_j^k(t) + \mathbf{f}_j^k(t), \quad 0 < t \leq T, \quad (2a)$$

where

$$\mathbf{u}_1^k(t) = (u_{1, -(N-1)}^k(t), u_{1, -(N-2)}^k(t), \dots, u_{1, (M-1)}^k(t))^T, \quad (2b)$$

and

$$\mathbf{u}_2^k(t) = (u_{2, (-M+1)}^k(t), u_{2, (-M+2)}^k(t), \dots, u_{2, (N-1)}^k(t))^T, \quad (2c)$$

are the subdomain iterates on the interior nodes of Ω_1^h and Ω_2^h . Here, for $j = 1, 2$, $A_j = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \text{tridiag}\{1, -2, 1\} \in \mathbb{R}^{N+M-1, N+M-1}$. The vectors $\mathbf{f}_j^k \in \mathbb{R}^{N+M-1}$, for $j = 1, 2$, are defined by

$$\mathbf{f}_1^k(t) = \bar{\mathbf{f}}_1(t) + \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} u_{1, M}^k(t) \delta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{f}_2^k(t) = \bar{\mathbf{f}}_2(t) + \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} u_{2, -M}^k(t) \delta_2, \quad (2d)$$

where $\delta_j \in \mathbb{R}^{N+M-1}$ for $j = 1, 2$, are the unit column vectors

$$\delta_1 = (0, \dots, 0, 1)^T \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_2 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T. \quad (2e)$$

The overbar notation indicates that $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_j$, for $j = 1, 2$, are the first and last $N + M - 1$ components of \mathbf{f} , respectively. This notation will be used throughout. The system (2a) is supplemented with an initial condition

$$\mathbf{u}_j^k(0) = \bar{\mathbf{u}}_j(0), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (2f)$$

and boundary and transmission conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u_{1,-N}^k(t) &= h_1(t), & u_{1,M}^k(t) &= u_{2,M}^{k-1}(t), & 0 < t \leq T, \\ u_{2,-M}^k(t) &= u_{1,-M}^{k-1}(t), & u_{2,N}^k(t) &= h_2(t), & 0 < t \leq T. \end{aligned} \quad (2g)$$

Here $u_{j,m}^k(t)$ represents the numerical approximation of $u(x, t)$ at $x = x_m$ over Ω_j using the SWR algorithm at the k^{th} iteration. To get the iteration started we must pick initial guesses for $u_{2,M}^0(t)$ and $u_{1,-M}^0(t)$.

3 Convergence Analysis

To analyze the fully discrete SWR we begin with a lemma which describes the single domain discrete solution of (1) using a backward Euler integrator.

Lemma 1 *The single domain solution at $t = t_n$, $\mathbf{u}(n)$, restricted to the interior of Ω_j^h , $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_j(n)$, for $j = 1, 2$, using a backward Euler integrator for the semi-discrete heat equation (1), is the unique solution of the subsystems*

$$\begin{aligned} (I_1 - \Delta t A_1) \bar{\mathbf{u}}_1(n) - \mu u_M(n) \delta_1 &= \bar{\mathbf{u}}_1(n-1) + \Delta t \bar{\mathbf{f}}_1(n), \\ (I_2 - \Delta t A_2) \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2(n) - \mu u_{-M}(n) \delta_2 &= \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2(n-1) + \Delta t \bar{\mathbf{f}}_2(n), \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Here $\mu = \Delta t / \Delta x^2$, δ_j , for $j = 1, 2$, are defined in (2e), $u_M(n)$ and $u_{-M}(n)$ are the single domain solutions at the interior interface nodes at time t_n , and $I_{1,2}$ are $(N + M - 1) \times (N + M - 1)$ identity matrices. Here $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_j(\mathbf{n}) \equiv \bar{\mathbf{f}}_j(\mathbf{t}_n)$ for $j = 1, 2$.

Similar expressions for the SWR approximations are given in the next lemma.

Lemma 2 *The solution of (2a)–(2g) using a backward Euler integrator at $t = t_n$, $\mathbf{u}_j^k(n)$, for $j = 1, 2$, at the k^{th} iteration, are the unique solutions of the subsystems*

$$\begin{aligned} (I_1 - \Delta t A_1) \mathbf{u}_1^k(n) &= \mathbf{u}_1^k(n-1) + \Delta t \mathbf{f}_1^k(n), \\ (I_2 - \Delta t A_2) \mathbf{u}_2^k(n) &= \mathbf{u}_2^k(n-1) + \Delta t \mathbf{f}_2^k(n), \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Here $\mathbf{f}_j^k(n) \equiv \mathbf{f}_j^k(t_n)$, for $j = 1, 2$, where $\mathbf{f}_j^k(t)$ are defined in (2d).

We denote the error between the single domain and SWR solutions at time step n by $\mathbf{e}_j^k(n) = \mathbf{u}_j^k(n) - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_j(n)$ for $j = 1, 2$. Simply subtracting the representations of the single domain and SWR solutions from the previous two lemmas gives the following result.

Lemma 3 *For $j = 1, 2$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$ the errors, $\mathbf{e}_j^k(n)$, satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} (I_1 - \Delta t A_1) \mathbf{e}_1^k(n) &= \mathbf{e}_1^k(n-1) + \mu \mathbf{e}_{1,M}^k(n) \delta_1, \\ (I_2 - \Delta t A_2) \mathbf{e}_2^k(n) &= \mathbf{e}_2^k(n-1) + \mu \mathbf{e}_{2,-M}^k(n) \delta_2, \end{aligned}$$

with initial condition

$$\mathbf{e}_j^k(0) = \bar{\mathbf{0}}_j, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2,$$

and boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} e_{1,M}^k(n) &= e_{2,M}^{k-1}(n), & e_{1,-N}^k(n) &= 0, \\ e_{2,-M}^k(n) &= e_{1,-M}^{k-1}(n), & e_{2,N}^k(n) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\bar{\mathbf{0}}_j \in \mathbb{R}^{N+M-1}$, for $j = 1, 2$, is the zero vector.

Using the boundary values and the definition of $A_{1,2}$ and $\delta_{1,2}$ we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4 *Component-wise, for $j = 1, 2$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$ the errors $\mathbf{e}_{j,m}^k(n)$ satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} -\mu e_{1,m-1}^k(n) + (1+2\mu)e_{1,m}^k(n) - \mu e_{1,m+1}^k(n) &= e_{1,m}^k(n-1), \quad \text{for } m = -(N-1), \dots, M-1, \\ -\mu e_{2,m-1}^k(n) + (1+2\mu)e_{2,m}^k(n) - \mu e_{2,m+1}^k(n) &= e_{2,m}^k(n-1), \quad \text{for } m = -(M-1), \dots, N-1. \end{aligned}$$

To analyze these recursions for the error we need the discrete Laplace transform. The discrete Laplace transform for a general vector $v = (v(0), v(1), \dots)^T$, defined on a regular grids with time step Δt is

$$\hat{v}(s) = \frac{\Delta t}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{-n} v(n),$$

where $z = e^{s\Delta t}$, $s = \sigma + i\omega$, $\sigma > 0$ and $-\pi/T \leq \omega \leq \pi/\Delta t$.

The recursions for the discrete Laplace transforms of the errors are recorded in the next lemma.

Lemma 5 *For $j = 1, 2$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$ the discrete Laplace transform of errors $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{j,m}^k(n)$ satisfy*

$$\mu \hat{e}_{1,m-1}^k(s) - (2\mu + \eta) \hat{e}_{1,m}^k(s) + \mu \hat{e}_{1,m+1}^k(s) = 0, \quad m = -(N-1), \dots, (M-1)$$

and

$$\mu \hat{e}_{2,m-1}^k(s) - (2\mu + \eta) \hat{e}_{2,m}^k(s) + \mu \hat{e}_{2,m+1}^k(s) = 0, \quad m = -(M-1), \dots, (N-1).$$

The Laplace transform of the initial error gives

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_j^k(0) = \mathbf{0}_j, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2$$

and the Laplace transforms of the boundary conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{e}_{1,M}^k(s) &= \hat{e}_{2,M}^{k-1}(s), & \hat{e}_{1,-N}^k(s) &= 0, \\ \hat{e}_{2,-M}^k(s) &= \hat{e}_{1,-M}^{k-1}(s), & \hat{e}_{2,N}^k(s) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2}$, $\eta = \frac{z-1}{z}$ and $z = e^{s\Delta t}$.

The general solutions of these recursion relations are given in the next two lemmas.

Lemma 6 *The general solutions of the recursions for the Laplace transforms of the error are given by*

$$\hat{e}_{j,m}^k(s) = a_j^k \lambda_+^m + b_j^k \lambda_+^{-m}, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \quad (3)$$

where λ_+ solves $\mu - (2\mu + \eta)\lambda + \mu\lambda^2 = 0$ and is given explicitly by $\lambda_+ = \frac{(2\mu+\eta) + \sqrt{(2\mu+\eta)^2 - 4\mu^2}}{2\mu}$, $\mu = \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2}$, $\eta = \frac{z-1}{z}$ and $z = e^{s\Delta t}$ where the coefficients $(a_j^k, b_j^k)^T =: \mathbf{c}_j^k$ are shown to satisfy a simple fixed point iteration in the next lemma.

Note: in the expression above for λ_+ , we have chosen the square root with positive real part.

Lemma 7 *The coefficients in the general solution for the Laplace transform of the error, $\mathbf{c}_j^k = (a_j^k, b_j^k)^T$, for $j = 1, 2$, satisfy*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c}_1^k \\ \mathbf{c}_2^k \end{pmatrix} = \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c}_1^{k-2} \\ \mathbf{c}_2^{k-2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the contraction matrix, Γ , is the block diagonal matrix

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & \\ & S_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$S_1 = \Lambda_1^{-1} \Theta_1 \Lambda_2^{-1} \Theta_2 \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \Lambda_2^{-1} \Theta_2 \Lambda_1^{-1} \Theta_1,$$

and

$$\Lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+^{-N} & \lambda_+^N \\ \lambda_+^M & \lambda_+^{-M} \end{pmatrix}, \Lambda_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+^{-M} & \lambda_+^M \\ \lambda_+^N & \lambda_+^{-N} \end{pmatrix}, \Theta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_+^M & \lambda_+^{-M} \end{pmatrix}, \Theta_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+^{-M} & \lambda_+^M \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof The boundary conditions, obtained from (3), can be written as

$$\Lambda_2 \mathbf{c}_2^k = \Theta_2 \mathbf{c}_1^{k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_1 \mathbf{c}_1^k = \Theta_1 \mathbf{c}_2^{k-1},$$

from which the result follows. \square

To ultimately show convergence of the discrete SWR algorithm we show that for $j = 1, 2$, \mathbf{c}_j^k tends to zero as k tends to infinity. A straightforward, but slightly tedious calculation, gives the following explicit representation of $\rho(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 8 *The spectral radius of the contraction matrix Γ above, $\rho(\Gamma)$, is*

$$\rho(\Gamma) = \left| \frac{\lambda_+^{(N-M)} - \lambda_+^{-(N-M)}}{\lambda_+^{(N+M)} - \lambda_+^{-(N+M)}} \right|^2,$$

where $\lambda_+ = \frac{(2\mu+\eta)+\sqrt{(2\mu+\eta)^2-4\mu^2}}{2\mu}$, $\mu = \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2}$, $\eta = \frac{z-1}{z}$ and $z = e^{s\Delta t}$.

Proof Direct calculation gives

$$S_1 = \chi \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda_+^{2(N-M)} & \lambda_+^{2M} - \lambda_+^{2N} \\ \lambda_+^{-2M} - \lambda_+^{-2N} & 1 - \lambda_+^{-2(N-M)} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \chi \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda_+^{-2(N-M)} & \lambda_+^{-2M} - \lambda_+^{-2N} \\ \lambda_+^{2M} - \lambda_+^{2N} & 1 - \lambda_+^{2(N-M)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\chi = -\left(\lambda_+^{N+M} - \lambda_+^{-(N+M)}\right)^{-2}$. It is easy to see that $\det(S_1) = \det(S_2) = 0$, hence $\rho(S_1) = |\text{tr}(S_1)|$ and $\rho(S_2) = |\text{tr}(S_2)|$, where $\text{tr}(\cdot)$ denotes the trace of the matrix. We also note $\text{tr}(S_1) = \text{tr}(S_2)$. Computing the trace gives the required result. \square

From the form of the contraction factor in the previous lemma it is not clear that the algorithm converges. We may rewrite the contraction factor as follows.

Lemma 9 Using the mapping, $\lambda_+ = e^v$, where $v = \zeta + i\varphi$, the spectral radius of the contraction matrix, $\rho(\Gamma)$, can be written as

$$\rho(\Gamma) = \left| \frac{\sinh((N-M)v)}{\sinh((N+M)v)} \right|^2. \quad (4)$$

or

$$\rho(\zeta, \varphi) = \frac{2p(\zeta, \varphi) - \sin(2N\varphi) \sin(2M\varphi) - \sinh(2N\zeta) \sinh(2M\zeta)}{2p(\zeta, \varphi) + \sin(2N\varphi) \sin(2M\varphi) + \sinh(2N\zeta) \sinh(2M\zeta)}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$p(\zeta, \varphi) = \sinh^2(N\zeta) \cosh^2(M\zeta) + \sinh^2(M\zeta) \cosh^2(N\zeta) + \sin^2(N\varphi) \cos^2(M\varphi) + \sin^2(M\varphi) \cos^2(N\varphi). \quad (6)$$

Proof Using the substitution $\lambda_+ = e^v$ and the definition of the hyperbolic sine function we arrive at (4). Now using $v = \zeta + i\varphi$ and hyperbolic trigonometric identities, the contraction rate (4) can be written as

$$\rho(\zeta, \varphi) = \left| \frac{\sinh((N-M)\zeta) \cos((N-M)\varphi) + i \cosh((N-M)\zeta) \sin((N-M)\varphi)}{\sinh((N+M)\zeta) \cos((N+M)\varphi) + i \cosh((N+M)\zeta) \sin((N+M)\varphi)} \right|^2. \quad (7)$$

Simplifying the modulus in (7) gives

$$\rho(\zeta, \varphi) = \frac{\sinh^2((N-M)\zeta) \cos^2((N-M)\varphi) + \cosh^2((N-M)\zeta) \sin^2((N-M)\varphi)}{\sinh^2((N+M)\zeta) \cos^2((N+M)\varphi) + \cosh^2((N+M)\zeta) \sin^2((N+M)\varphi)}. \quad (8)$$

Again using hyperbolic trigonometric identities we arrive at (5) where p is as defined in (6). \square

To show the spectral radius is strictly less one a more detailed analysis of λ_+ is necessary.

Lemma 10 The quantity $\eta = (z-1)/z$ in the expression for λ_+ satisfies $\text{Re}(\eta) > 0$ and hence $\text{Re}(\lambda_+) > 1$.

Proof Consider $\eta = (z - 1)/z$ where $z = e^{s\Delta t}$, $s = \sigma + i\omega$, $\sigma > 0$ and $\pi/T \leq |\omega| \leq \pi/\Delta t$. The real part of η is given by $\operatorname{Re}(\eta) = 1 - e^{-\sigma\Delta t} \cos(\omega\Delta t)$ which is easily seen to be positive for $\sigma > 0$ and $\pi/T \leq |\omega| \leq \pi/\Delta t$. The real part of λ_+ is given by $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_+) = 1 + \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\eta)}{2\mu} + \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\sqrt{\eta^2 + 4\mu\eta})}{2\mu}$. The conclusion $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_+) > 1$ then follows from the fact that $\operatorname{Re}(\eta) > 0$ and the choice of the square root in λ_+ . \square

The following inequality will finally lead us to the main result.

Lemma 11 *If $\lambda_+ = e^{\zeta+i\varphi}$ then*

$$\sinh(K\zeta) > |\sin(K\varphi)|, \quad (9)$$

for any integer $K \geq 1$.

Proof Recall that λ_+ satisfies $\mu - (2\mu + \eta)\lambda_+ + \mu\lambda_+^2 = 0$. Substituting $\lambda_+ = e^{\zeta+i\varphi}$, multiplying by $e^{-(\zeta+i\varphi)}$ and dividing by 2μ , we find $\frac{e^{\zeta+i\varphi} + e^{-(\zeta+i\varphi)}}{2} = 1 + \frac{\eta}{2\mu}$. Using the definition of the hyperbolic cosine function and splitting the real and the imaginary parts of η we have

$$\cosh(\zeta + i\varphi) = \left(1 + \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\eta)}{2\mu}\right) + i \frac{\operatorname{Im}(\eta)}{2\mu}.$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}(\eta) > 0$ then clearly $|\cosh(\zeta + i\varphi)|^2 > 1$.

Induction is used to prove (9). Using Euler's formula, hyperbolic trigonometric identities and simplifying the square of the modulus, $|\cosh(\zeta + i\varphi)|^2 > 1$ becomes

$$\cosh^2(\zeta) \cos^2(\varphi) + \sinh^2(\zeta) \sin^2(\varphi) > 1,$$

which simplifies to $\sinh^2(\zeta) > \sin^2(\varphi)$. Since $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_+) = e^\zeta \cos(\varphi) > 1$, then $\zeta > 0$ and hence $\sinh(\zeta) > 0$. Taking the square root of both sides of the inequality $\sinh^2(\zeta) > \sin^2(\varphi)$ then gives the base case in the induction argument.

The induction step then follows using the base inequality, hyperbolic trigonometric identities, properties of the hyperbolic and trigonometric functions and the triangle inequality. \square

We now arrive at the final and main result.

Theorem 1 *The fully discrete SWR algorithm which results from applying the backward Euler time integrator to (2a)–(2g) converges to the single domain discrete solution on the interior of Ω_j^h , for $j = 1, 2$.*

Proof We are now in a position to prove that $\rho(\Gamma) < 1$. The spectral radius of the contraction matrix, $\rho(\Gamma)$, is given in (5) where p is given in (6). Since $p > 0$, then clearly $\rho(\zeta, \varphi) < 1$ if $\sin(2N\varphi) \sin(2M\varphi) + \sinh(2N\zeta) \sinh(2M\zeta) > 0$. This inequality follows from Lemma 11 for $K = 2N$ and $K = 2M$. To see this, we consider different cases for the sign of $\sin(2N\varphi)$ and $\sin(2M\varphi)$. Since $\zeta > 0$ we have $\sinh(2N\zeta) > 0$ and $\sinh(2M\zeta) > 0$. There are two cases to consider: if $\sin(2N\varphi)$ and $\sin(2M\varphi)$ have the same or opposite signs. If they have the same sign

then the inequality above is obvious. If they have opposite signs then Lemma 11 gives the result.

4 Conclusions

In this paper we have obtained an explicit contraction rate for the discrete Laplace transform of the error for the fully discretized SWR algorithm applied to the heat equation on two overlapping bounded domains. Further analysis, with other families of time integrators and an arbitrary number of subdomains will appear elsewhere.

Acknowledgement We would like to thank Felix Kwok for several discussions related to this work.

References

1. Al-Khaleel, M., Gander, M.J., Ruehli, A.E.: A mathematical analysis of optimized waveform relaxation for a small RC circuit. *Applied Numerical Mathematics* **75**, 61–76 (2014)
2. Al-Khaleel, M.D., Gander, M.J., Ruehli, A.E.: Optimization of transmission conditions in waveform relaxation techniques for RC circuits. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis* **52**(2), 1076–1101 (2014)
3. Gander, M., Ruehli, A.: Optimized waveform relaxation methods for RC type circuits. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers* **51**(4), 755–768 (2004)
4. Gander, M.J., Halpern, L., Nataf, F.: Optimal Schwarz waveform relaxation for the one dimensional wave equation. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis* **41**(5), 1643–1681 (2003). DOI: [10.1137/S003614290139559X](https://doi.org/10.1137/S003614290139559X). 00148
5. Gander, M.J., Stuart, A.M.: Space-time continuous analysis of waveform relaxation for the heat equation. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing* **19**(6), 2014–2031 (1998)
6. Giladi, E., Keller, H.B.: Space-time domain decomposition for parabolic problems. *Numerische Mathematik* **93**(2), 279–313 (2002). DOI: [10.1007/s002110100345](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002110100345)
7. Halpern, L., Szeftel, J.: Optimized and quasi-optimal Schwarz waveform relaxation for the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences* **20**(12), 2167–2199 (2010). DOI: [10.1142/S0218202510004891](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218202510004891). 00029
8. Shu-Lin Wu, M., Al-Khaleel, M.: Parameter optimization in waveform relaxation for fractional-order RC circuits. *Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers, IEEE Transactions on* **64**(7), 1781–1790 (2017)
9. Wu, S.L., Al-Khaleel, M.: Convergence analysis of the Neumann–Neumann waveform relaxation method for time-fractional RC circuits. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory* **64**, 43–56 (2016)
10. Wu, S.L., Al-Khaleel, M.D.: Semi-discrete Schwarz waveform relaxation algorithms for reaction diffusion equations. *BIT* **54**(3), 831–866 (2014)
11. Wu, S.L., Al-Khaleel, M.D.: Optimized waveform relaxation methods for RC circuits: discrete case. *ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis* **51**(1), 209–223 (2017)
12. Wu, S.L., Xu, Y.: Convergence analysis of Schwarz waveform relaxation with convolution transmission conditions. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing* **39**(3), 890–921 (2017)