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1 Introduction

It has become necessary to develop modeling capabilities to simulate multiscale, multiphysics ocean flows directly. An example of such flows is the 2010 Gulf of Mexico oil spill, in which the spill started as a high-speed plume at the seabed and then it evolved into drifting oil patches on the ocean surface [1]. Since the plume and the patches are phenomena distinct in physics at temporal and spatial scales and better described by different sets of partial differential equations (PDEs), they are referred to as multiscale and multiphysics flows [2, 3].

Coupling the Navier-Stokes (NS) equations, which describe complex small-scale local flows, and hydrostatic versions of the Navier-Stokes (HNS) equations, which depict the large-scale background ocean flows, is a natural approach to realize simulations of multiscale and multiphysics ocean flows. Example topics of efforts on such coupling include optimized interface conditions for convergence speedup of Schwarz iteration between the NS and HNS equations [4], appropriate interface conditions [5], simulation of multiscale, multiphysics ocean flows [6, 7, 2, 8, 9], etc. Due to the complexity of the coupling, these earlier efforts are mostly simple and crude in methods (e.g., one-way coupling), and they are sporadic in both theoretical analysis and desired computation implementation (particularly two-way coupling). Actually, problems such as non-physical solutions have been reported in simulations
based on such coupling [10]. A more detailed review can be found in [8, 9]. It is fair to say that we are still at an exploration stage of such coupling.

This work presents a discussion on the coupling of the NS and HNS equations to capture physical phenomena correctly. A numerical example is provided to illustrate the necessity for coupling the NS and HNS equations and the influence of different transmission conditions.

2 Governing Equations

A flow domain, $\Omega_0$, is divided into a near field assigned with the NS equations, $\Omega_{NS}$, and a far field applied with the HNS equations, $\Omega_{HNS}$, see Fig. 1. The near field wraps a small-scale, complex, local flow, and the far field covers its large-scale background flow. For a near field, the governing equations consist of the continuity equation and the NS equations [8, 11]:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$
$$\mathbf{u}_t + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{uu} = \nabla \cdot ((\nu + \nu_t) \nabla \mathbf{u}) - \nabla p_d/\rho - g \nabla H \eta$$

Fig. 1: Division of flow domain, $\Omega_0$ ($= \Omega_{NS} \cup \Omega_{HNS}$), $\partial \Omega_0$ is its boundary, and $\partial \Omega_{NS}$ and $\partial \Omega_{HNS}$ are the interfaces for the NS and HNS equations, respectively.

Here, $\mathbf{u} = (u, v, w)$, the velocity vector, with $u$ and $v$ as its components in $x$ and $y$ direction, respectively, on the horizontal plane, and $w$ as its component in $z$ direction, or, the vertical direction. $\eta$ is the water surface elevation, and $p_d = p - \rho g (\eta - z)$, with $p$ being pressure, $\nu$ is the viscosity, $\nu_t$ the turbulence viscosity, $\rho$ the density, and $g$ the gravity. $\nabla H$ is the gradient in the horizontal plane. When $\rho = \text{const}$, $p_d$ becomes the dynamic pressure, and it is introduced to facilitate the coupling of the NS to the HNS equations [8].

In a far field, the governing equations are the continuity equation and the HNS equations (the latter is simplified from the NS equations according to the hydrostatic assumption, i.e., $p = \rho g (\eta - z)$), and they read as

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$
$$\mathbf{v}_t + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{uv} = \nabla \cdot ((\nu + \nu_t) \nabla \mathbf{v}) - g \nabla H \eta$$
where \( \mathbf{v} = (u, v) \), the velocity vector in the horizontal plane.

As a practical approach, the following interface conditions are adopted in computation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{u}|_{\text{NS}} &= \mathbf{u}|_{\text{HNS}}, \quad \partial p_d / \partial n = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_{\text{NS}} \\
\mathbf{u}|_{\text{HNS}} &= \mathbf{u}|_{\text{NS}}, \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_{\text{HNS}}
\end{align*}
\]

which requires the continuity of \( \mathbf{u} \) across an interface. Another approach is

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{u}_n|_{\text{NS}} &= \mathbf{u}_n|_{\text{HNS}}, \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_{\text{NS}} \\
\mathbf{u}_\tau|_{\text{NS}} &= \mathbf{u}_\tau|_{\text{HNS}}, \quad \partial p_d / \partial n = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_{\text{NS}} \\
\mathbf{u}|_{\text{HNS}} &= \mathbf{u}|_{\text{NS}}, \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_{\text{HNS}}
\end{align*}
\]

here subscript \( \tau \) indicates the tangential direction. (4) is same to (3) except that, on an interface of the NS equations and in its normal direction, the continuity of velocity is replaced by continuity of momentum flux. Condition (4) is adopted/modified from previous investigations [4, 11].

### 3 Computational Methods

The NS equations (1) are computed using the Solver of Incompressible Flow on Overset Meshes (SIFOM) developed by us (e.g., [13, 12]). The solver discretizes the equations in curvilinear coordinates using a second-order accurate backward difference in time and central difference on non-staggered, composite structured grids [13]. The HNS equations are solved by utilizing the Finite Volume Method Coastal Ocean Model (FVCOM), which is an operational model in the ocean science community [14]. In this model, the HNS equations (2) are transformed and solved in the following form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\eta_t + \nabla H \cdot (\mathbf{v} \partial H) + \omega \sigma &= 0, \\
(\mathbf{v} \partial H) + \nabla H \cdot (\mathbf{v} \partial H) + (\mathbf{v} \omega)_\sigma &= -gD \nabla H \eta + \nabla H \cdot (\mathbf{e}) + (\lambda \alpha \sigma)_\sigma / D + \mathbf{I},
\end{align*}
\]

in which \( \sigma \) is a vertical coordinate, \( \omega \) the vertical velocity in this coordinate, \( \mathbf{e} \) the strain rate, subscript \( \sigma \) the derivative over \( \sigma \), and \( \mathbf{I} \) the other terms. \( \kappa \) and \( \lambda \) are coefficients. Actually, in FVCOM, equations (5) are solved together with another set of equations, which essentially result from integrating the NS equations in the vertical direction [14]. The model adopts the second-order accurate Runge-Kutta method in time and a second-order accurate finite volume method on a triangular grid in the horizontal plane and a \( \sigma \)-grid in the vertical direction. The grids of SIFOM and FVCOM overlap arbitrarily with each other (i.e., Chimera grids), and their solutions are exchanged at interfaces between the two grids via interpolation [8].

Let the discretized NS and HNS equations be respectively expressed as
\begin{equation}
F(f) = 0, \quad H(h) = 0
\end{equation}

in which \( f = (u, p, \rho_f) \), and \( h = (v, \omega, \eta) \), being the solution for the NS and HNS equations, respectively. Then, the computation of their coupling when marching from time level \( n \) to \( n + 1 \) is formulated as

\[
\tilde{f}^0 = f^n, \quad h^0 = h^n \\
Do \quad 1 \quad m = 1, M \\
\begin{cases}
F(\tilde{f}^m) = 0, & x \in \Omega_{NS} \\
\tilde{f}^m = p(h^{m-1}), & x \in \partial\Omega_{NS} \\
H(h^m) = 0, & x \in \Omega_{HNS} \\
h^m = q(f^{m-1}), & x \in \partial\Omega_{HNS}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
f^{m+1} = \tilde{f}^M, \quad h^{m+1} = h^M
\]

in which \( m \) is the Schwarz iteration index, and \( M \) is a prescribed integer. \( p \) and \( q \) are operators for solution exchange between the NS and HNS equations.

4 Numerical Simulation

Numerical experiments are made on a transient water flow in a riverbend, see Fig. 2. The water body is stationary initially, and it flows as velocity is imposed at its entrance, with the following initial and boundary conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
u &= 0, \quad p = \gamma(\eta - z), \quad t = 0 \\
u &= 0.25(1 - e^{-0.01t}), \quad \text{at entrance; } \eta = 15, \quad \text{at exit}
\end{align*}
\]

Here, length is in m, and time is in s, and velocity is in m/s.

The mesh for the HNS equations covers the whole channel, with 10,000 triangular cells in the horizontal plane and 20 layers in the vertical direction, and the grid for the NS equations occupies the bend section, with a grid of \( 11 \times 13 \times 13 \) in the streamwise, lateral, and vertical direction, respectively. The time step is set as \( \Delta t = 0.01 \), and \( M = 1 \) is used (7).

The simulated instantaneous water surface elevation by the HNS equations and those by the coupled HNS and NS equations are depicted in Fig. 3. At \( t = 60 \), in the transient stage, the simulated elevations by both approaches are essentially identical. When \( t = 1200 \), at which the flow is about steady, the elevation obtained with the HNS/NS equations differs from that with the HNS equations in patterns. Additionally, the elevations computed by the coupled equations with interface conditions (3) and (4) are similar in main patterns, but they do have a little difference in magnitude.

Fig. 4 illustrates the velocity field at cross-section a-a in the curved section of the channel (Fig. 2). The figure shows that the HNS simulation presents a vortex covering the whole cross-section. Whereas the HNS/NS simulations lead to two counter-rotation vortices in the middle of the cross-section, plus one at the left...
lower corner, one at the right lower corner, and one at the upper right corner. Such multiple vorticies have been reported in previous investigations based on the NS equations [15], and the difference indicates the necessity of the NS/HNS coupling. Additionally, it is seen that, with the coupled equations, although both interface conditions (3) and (4) lead to three vorticities on the cross-section, their positions have changed in some degree (Fig. 4). This indicates that interface conditions (3) and (4) could produce difference in solutions.

To further examine the difference, the vertically averaged velocities are plotted in Fig. 5. It is seen that the coupled HNS/NS equations provide solutions for both streamwise and lateral velocity that are distinct from those obtained with the HNS equations. Note the streamwise velocity is y-velocity and x-velocity at cross-section a-a and b-b, respectively. Moreover, the two interface conditions lead to similar streamwise velocities but distinct lateral velocities. To illustrate this with more details, we present a quantification of the difference in the solutions obtained with the two interface conditions in Table 1. The numbers in the table show that the difference in the lateral velocities is more pronounced, indicating that the two interface conditions lead to a big difference in the secondary flows in the bend’s cross-section.

Table 1: Difference of the HNS/NS solutions obtained with different interface conditions. \( \bar{u} \) and \( \bar{v} \) are vertically integrated x- and y-velocity, respectively, and subscripts 3 and 4 depict interface condition (3) and (4), respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cross section</th>
<th>( | \bar{u}_4 - \bar{u}_3 | / | \bar{u}<em>3 |</em>{max} )</th>
<th>( | \bar{v}_4 - \bar{v}_3 | / | \bar{v}<em>3 |</em>{max} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a-a</td>
<td>1.809</td>
<td>0.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b-b</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>2.196</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Concluding Remarks

We present a discussion on the coupling of the NHS and NS equations. The numerical experiment on a riverbend flow shows that the coupling can capture complex flow phenomena that the HNS equations cannot resolve. It also indicates that different interface conditions may lead to different solutions, especially those for the secondary flows in cross-sections of the bend.

Further investigation is necessary for the two transmission conditions in this paper. Particularly, examining their performance against benchmarks plus theoretical analysis is expected to be the next step, followed by domain decomposition techniques to achieve desired computational efficiency.
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Fig. 4: Simulated cross-section flow field, at cross section a-a in Fig. 2, $t = 1200$.

Fig. 5: Vertically averaged velocity at cross sections a-a and b-b in Fig. 2, $t = 1200$.
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