

# Convergence Analysis of Parallel Domain Decomposition Algorithm for Navier-Stokes Equations

Kaitai Li and Cuihua Li

## 1 Introduction

In the paper [1], we developed a Schwarz's domain decomposition algorithm and a convergence analysis for the stationary incompressible Navier-Stokes problem. But this is a serial algorithm. In this paper, we discuss a class of parallel algorithms. We consider the stationary Navier-Stokes equations with the Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$\begin{cases} -\nu\Delta u + \sum_{j=1}^N u_j \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + \text{grad}p = f \\ \text{div}u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain of  $R^N$  ( $N = 2, 3$ ) with a Lipschitz-continuous boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . The vector  $u = \{u_i\}_{i=1}^N$  is the velocity of the fluid,  $\nu > 0$  is its kinematic viscosity (assumed to be constant),  $p$  its pressure and the vector  $f = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^N \in [H^{-1}(\Omega)]^N$  the density of the body forces per unit mass. We introduce the following spaces:

$$X = [H_0^1(\Omega)]^N, \quad V = \{v|v \in X; \text{div}v = 0, \text{in } \Omega\},$$

$$M = L_0^2(\Omega) = \{q|q \in L^2(\Omega); \int_{\Omega} q dx = 0\}.$$

---

<sup>0</sup> Project Supported by State Key Basic Research Project & NSFC

<sup>1</sup> College of Science, Xian Jiaotong University, Xian, 710049, P.R.CHINA.  
e-mail: KTLi@XJTU.EDU.CN

The weak formulation of (1) is

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in V \text{ such that} \\ a_0(u, v) + a_1(u; u, v) = \langle f, v \rangle, \forall v \in V, \end{cases}$$

or

$$(Q) \quad \begin{cases} \text{Find } \{u, p\} \in X \times M \text{ such that} \\ a_0(u, v) + a_1(u; u, v) - b(p, v) = \langle f, v \rangle, \forall v \in X \\ b(q, u) = 0, \quad \forall q \in M, \end{cases}$$

where

$$a_0(u, v) = \nu(\text{grad}u, \text{grad}v), \quad a_1(u; v, w) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} u_j \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} w_i dx,$$

$$b(p, v) = (p, \text{div}v), \quad \langle f, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f \cdot v dx, \quad \forall u, v, w \in X.$$

Problem (P) is equivalent to problem (Q) and there exists at least one solution[2,3].

## 2 The Parallel Algorithm

Assume that  $\Omega$  is split into  $m$  subdomains  $\Omega_j$ :

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^m \Omega_j \quad (m \geq 2),$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \xi_j \in C_0^{+\infty}(\Omega_j), 0 \leq \xi_j \leq 1, \text{ in } \Omega_j; \xi_j = 0, \text{ in } \Omega \setminus \Omega_j, \\ \text{such that } \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_j = 1, \text{ in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Later on, we denote by  $r(x)$  the number of subdomains to which the point  $x$  belongs. Let

$$V_j = \{u \in [H_0^1(\Omega_j)]^N; \text{div}u = 0, \text{ in } \Omega_j\} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

and consider  $V_j$  as a closed subspace of  $V$  by extending its elements to  $\Omega \setminus \Omega_j$  by 0. Then we have the following simple result.

**Lemma 1 ([1]).** *Under the condition (2), we have*

$$V = V_1 + V_2 + \dots + V_m,$$

and, for all  $v \in V$ , there exist  $v_j \in V_j$  such that

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^m v_j \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|v_j\|_1 \leq C_0 \|v\|_1,$$

where  $C_0$  is some positive constant.

The algorithm is designed as follows:

**Step 0.** Choose an initial value  $u^0 \in V$ .

**Step 1.** For  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $n \geq 0$ , solve in parallel the following subproblems:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Find } u_j^{n+1} \in u^n + V_j \text{ and } p_j^{n+1} \in L_0^2(\Omega_j) \text{ such that} \\ a_0(u_j^{n+1}, v)_{\Omega_j} + a_1(u_j^{n+1}; u_j^{n+1}, v)_{\Omega_j} - b(p_j^{n+1}, v)_{\Omega_j} \\ \quad = \langle f, v \rangle_{\Omega_j}, \quad \forall v \in [H_0^1(\Omega_j)]^N, \\ b(q, u_j^{n+1})_{\Omega_j} = 0, \quad \forall q \in L_0^2(\Omega_j), \\ p_j^{n+1} = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \Omega_j. \end{array} \right. \quad (3)$$

**Step 2.** Choose  $\theta_j \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^m \theta_j = 1$  and for  $n \geq 0$  set

$$u^{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^m \theta_j u_j^{n+1}, \quad p^{n+1}(x) = \frac{1}{r(x)} \sum_{j=1}^m p_j^{n+1}. \quad (4)$$

Set  $n = n + 1$ , go to Step 1.

Here the notations are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(u, v)_{\Omega_j} &= \nu \int_{\Omega_j} \text{grad} u \cdot \text{grad} v dx, & a_1(u; v, w)_{\Omega_j} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega_j} u_j \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} w_i dx, \\ b(p, v)_{\Omega_j} &= \int_{\Omega_j} p \text{div} v dx, & \langle f, v \rangle_{\Omega_j} &= \int_{\Omega_j} f \cdot v dx. \end{aligned}$$

### 3 The Convergence

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space,  $F$  a differentiable mapping from  $H$  into  $H'$  (the dual space of  $H$ ),  $DF(\cdot)$  its derivative, and let  $u \in H$  be a solution of the equation  $F(u) = 0$ . We say that  $u$  is a nonsingular solution if there exists a constant  $\gamma_0 > 0$  such that

$$\| DF(u) \cdot v \|_* \geq \gamma_0 \| v \|_H \quad \forall v \in H.$$

For the Navier-Stokes problem, we define a  $C^2$ -mapping  $F(\cdot) : V \rightarrow V'$  as follows:

$$\langle F(u), v \rangle = a_0(u, v) + a_1(u; u, v) - \langle f, v \rangle \quad \forall u, v \in V.$$

Clearly,  $F(\cdot)$  is infinitely differentiable in  $V$  and its derivative  $DF(u) \in \mathcal{L}(V; V')$  is given by:

$$\langle DF(u)v, w \rangle = a_0(v, w) + a_1(u; v, w) + a_1(v; u, w).$$

As a consequence, problem (P) can be rewritten as:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Find } u \in V \text{ such that} \\ \langle F(u), v \rangle = 0, \quad \forall v \in V. \end{array} \right. \quad (5)$$

In addition, we introduce the abstract Stokes operator:  $A \in \mathcal{L}(V; V')$  defined by

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = a_0(u, v), \quad \forall u, v \in V.$$

Obviously<sup>[3]</sup>  $A$  is symmetric,  $V$ -elliptic, and there exists  $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(V'; V)$ . Furthermore, the mapping  $f \in V' \rightarrow \|f\|_{V'} = \langle A^{-1}f, f \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is a norm on  $V'$  that is equivalent to the dual norm<sup>[3]</sup>.

We define the functional

$$J(v) = \frac{1}{2} \|F(v)\|_{V'}^2,$$

Then problem (5) is equivalent to:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in V \text{ such that} \\ J(u) = \inf_{v \in V} J(v). \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $u^*$  be a nonsingular solution of Navier-Stokes problem (1). Then the functional*

$$J(v) = \frac{1}{2} \langle A^{-1}F(v), F(v) \rangle$$

*is strictly convex in a neighborhood of  $u^*$  and weakly lower semicontinuous. This means that there exist two constants,  $\rho > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , such that*

$$D^2J(v) \cdot (w, w) \geq \alpha \|w\|_1^2, \quad \forall v \in S(u^*; \rho), \forall w \in V. \quad (7)$$

Here

$$S(u^*; \rho) = \{v \in V; \|v - u^*\|_1 \leq \rho\}.$$

**Proof.** The strict convexity of  $J(v)$  in a neighborhood of a nonsingular solution  $u^*$  can be found in [3].

Now, we will prove the weak lower semicontinuity. To do this, let  $\{v_i\}$  be a weakly convergent sequence in  $V$ . Assume that  $v_i \rightarrow v_*$  weakly in  $V$ . We then have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle F(v_i), v \rangle = \langle F(v_*), v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V.$$

(see chapter 9 in [4]. Let  $A^{-1}F(v_*) = g_*$ ,  $A^{-1}F(v_i) = g_i$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} Ag_* &= F(v_*), Ag_i = F(v_i), \\ \langle A(g_* - g_i), v \rangle &= \langle F(v_*) - F(v_i), v \rangle = a_0(g_* - g_i, v). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_0(g_* - g_i, v) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle F(v_*) - F(v_i), v \rangle - \langle F(v_*) - F(v_*), v \rangle = 0 \quad \forall v \in V.$$

This implies  $g_i \rightarrow g_*$  weakly in  $V$ .

Also, with  $Ag = F(v)$

$$J(v) = \langle A^{-1}F(v), F(v) \rangle = \langle g, Ag \rangle = a_0(g, g),$$

since

$$a_0(g_i - g_*, g_i - g_*) \geq 0, \quad a_0(g_i, g_i) \geq 2a_0(g_i, g_*) - a_0(g_*, g_*),$$

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_0(g_i, g_i) \geq a_0(g_*, g_*).$$

It follows that

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} J(v_i) \geq J(v).$$

$J(v_i)$  is weakly lower semicontinuous. □

Let  $u^0$  be an initial value in  $S(u^*, \rho)$ , and let  $R = J(u^0)$ . It is clear that  $D = \{v \in V; J(v) \leq R\} \cap S(u^*; \rho)$  is not empty because of  $u^0 \in S(u^*, \rho)$ , and functional  $J(v)$  is strictly convex in  $D$ . Its derivative  $DJ(v)$  is uniformly continuous in  $D$ <sup>[3]</sup>. Therefore there exist constants  $\alpha, C > 0$  such that (see (7))

$$J(v) - J(u) - \langle DJ(u), v - u \rangle \geq \alpha \|v - u\|_1^2, \quad \forall u, v \in D \tag{8}$$

and

$$\|DJ(v) - DJ(u)\|_{V'} \leq C \|v - u\|_1 \quad \forall u, v \in D. \tag{9}$$

Now, we consider a local minimization problem:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in D & \text{such that} \\ J(u) = \inf_{v \in D} J(v) \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

**Theorem 1.** *There exists a unique solution  $u^*$  of (10) which satisfies (6).*

**Proof** By using lemma 2,  $J(v)$  is weakly lower semicontinuous in  $V$  and  $D$  is a closed subset in  $V, J(v) \geq 0$ . Then the generalized Weierstrass theorem shows that (10) has a unique solution  $u^*$ . □

Clearly, the domain decomposition algorithm for (10) consists of

$$(P_n) \begin{cases} \text{Find } u_j^{n+1} \in \{u^n + V_j\} \cap D & \text{such that} \\ a_0(u_j^{n+1}, v)_{\Omega_j} + a_1(u_j^{n+1}, u_j^{n+1}, v)_{\Omega_j} = \langle f, v \rangle_{\Omega_j} & \forall v \in V_j. \end{cases}$$

$(P_n)$  is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} v_j^{n+1} = \underset{v \in V_j}{\operatorname{arginf}} J(u^n + v) & \text{in } \Omega_j \\ v_j^{n+1} = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \Omega_j \\ u_j^{n+1} = u^n + v_j^{n+1} & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

Similarly, (11) has a unique solution sequence  $\{u_j^n\}$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that  $\{u^*, p^*\}$  is an isolated solution of the N-S Eqs. Then the sequence  $\{u^n, p^n\}$ , defined by (11) and (4), converges strongly in  $X \times M$  to  $\{u^*, p^*\}$ .*

**Proof** It follows from (11) that

$$J(u_j^{n+1}) \leq J(u^n), \quad u_j^{n+1} \in D \quad (n \geq 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

Since  $J(v)$  is convex in  $D$ , we have

$$J(u^{n+1}) = J\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \theta_j u_j^{n+1}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \theta_j J(u_j^{n+1}) \leq J(u^n), \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (12)$$

Therefore there exists a  $q \in R^1$  such that  $J(u^n) \rightarrow q$ , as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Thus,  $\{u^n\} \subset D$ . Furthermore, (11) yields

$$\begin{cases} \langle DJ(u_j^{n+1}), v_j \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall v_j \in V_j \\ u_j^{n+1} - u^n \in V_j \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, m; n \geq 0). \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Combining (11) with (7), we deduce

$$J(u^n) - J(u_j^{n+1}) \geq \alpha \|u^n - u_j^{n+1}\|_1^2.$$

For  $\theta_j \in (0, 1)$  satisfying  $\sum_{j=1}^m \theta_j = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \sum_{j=1}^m \theta_j \|u^n - u_j^{n+1}\|_1^2 &\leq J(u^n) - \sum_{j=1}^m \theta_j J(u_j^{n+1}) \\ &\leq J(u^n) - J(u^{n+1}) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|u^n - u_j^{n+1}\|_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, m), \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

By using Lemma 1, for each  $v \in V$ , there exist  $v_j \in V_j$  such that

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^m v_j \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|v_j\|_1 \leq C_0 \|v\|_1.$$

Combining this with (7) and (8), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle DJ(u^n), v \rangle| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \langle DJ(u^n), v_j \rangle \right| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \langle DJ(u^n) - DJ(u_j^{n+1}), v_j \rangle \right| \\ &\leq C_0 \sum_{j=1}^m \|DJ(u^n) - DJ(u_j^{n+1})\|_{V'} \|v\|_1 \leq C_0 C \sum_{j=1}^m \|u^n - u_j^{n+1}\|_1 \|v\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|DJ(u^n)\|_{V'} \leq C_0 C \sum_{j=1}^m \|u^n - u_j^{n+1}\|_1 \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Since  $u^*$  is a solution of problem (6), we find

$$J(u^*) \leq J(u^n) \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Using again (7), we get

$$\alpha \|u^n - u^*\|_1^2 \leq J(u^*) - J(u^n) + \langle DJ(u^n), u^n - u^* \rangle.$$

$$\leq \langle DJ(u^n), u^n - u^* \rangle \leq \|DJ(u^n)\|_{V'} \|u^n - u^*\|_1.$$

Therefore

$$\|u^n - u^*\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|DJ(u^n)\|_{V'} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Thus, the sequence  $\{u^n\}$  converges strongly in  $V$  to the isolated solution  $u^*$  of problem (6).

Next, we consider the convergence of the pressure sequence  $\{p^n\}$ .

Let the pair  $\{u^*, p^*\}$  be a solution of Problem (Q). We know that

$$\|u^n - u^*\|_1 \rightarrow 0, \quad \|u_j^{n+1} - u^*\|_1 \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty (j = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

Moreover, using (Q), we have for any  $v_j$  in  $[H_0^1(\Omega_j)]^N$  with  $v_j = 0$  in  $\Omega \setminus \Omega_j$ :

$$b(p_j^{n+1} - p, v_j) = a_0(u_j^{n+1} - u^*, v_j) + a_1(u_j^{n+1}, u_j^{n+1}, v_j)$$

and

$$-a_1(u^*; u^*, v_j) \rightarrow 0^{[3]}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Similarly, if  $\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j \neq \emptyset$ , then we have for any  $v_{ij}$  in  $[C_0^\infty(\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j)]^N$  with  $v_{ij} = 0$  in  $\Omega \setminus (\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j)$

$$b(p_i^{n+1} - p_j^{n+1}, v_{ij}) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Since  $C_0^{+\infty}(\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j)$  is dense in  $L^2(\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j)$ , we get

$$b(p_i^{n+1} - p_j^{n+1}, v)_{\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j} \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall v \in [H^1(\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j)]^N, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence, we get for all  $v_j \in [H_0^1(\Omega_j)]^N$ , with  $v_j = 0$  in  $\Omega \setminus \Omega_j$ :

$$\begin{aligned} b(p^{n+1} - p^*, v_j)_{\Omega_j} &= b(p_j^{n+1} - p^*; v_j)_{\Omega_j} + b\left(\frac{1}{r(x)} \sum_{k=1}^M p_k^{n+1} - p_j^{n+1}, v_j\right)_{\Omega_j} \\ &= b(p_j^{n+1} - p^*, v_j)_{\Omega_j} + \frac{1}{r(x)} \sum_{k=1}^m b(p_k^{n+1} - p_j^{n+1}, v_j)_{\Omega_j \cap \Omega_k} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $v_j = \xi_j v$ , for all  $v \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^N$ . We assert that

$$b(p^{n+1} - p^*, v) = \sum_{j=1}^m b(p^{n+1} - p^*, v_j)_{\Omega_j} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

i.e.  $\forall v \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^N$ ,  $(p^{n+1} - p^*, \text{div} v) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Since  $\text{div}$  maps  $[H_0^1(\Omega)]^N$  onto  $L_0^2(\Omega)$  ([3]), we obtain

$$p^n \rightharpoonup p^* \quad \text{weakly in } M \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

The inf-sup condition [3] yields

$$p^n \rightarrow p^* \quad \text{strongly in } M \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence, Theorem 2 is valid. □

**REFERENCES**

- [1] Li Cuihua & Li Kaitai (1995) *Domain-decomposition Method for Navier-Stokes Equations* (in Chinese), J. Xian Jiaotong Univ., 6(29).
- [2] Temam R. (1984) *Navier-Stokes Equations, Theory and Numerical Analysis*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York.
- [3] Girault V. and Raviart P. A. (1985) *Finite Element Method of the Navier-Stokes Equations*, Springer-Verlag.
- [4] Li Kaitai, Ma Yichen (1992) *Hilbert Space Method for Mathematical Physical Equations* (in Chinese), Xian Jiaotong University Press.